Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1061/16

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 4 October 2016 at 15:34
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 3:35 PM on 04 Oct 2016 from Mr Leonard Saunders.

Application Summary

Address:	49 Boundstone Lane Sompting Lancing West Sussex BN15 9QR
Proposal:	Provision of further bedroom to first floor within roof with new gable end to rear (west) elevation. Single-storey side extension to south elevation.
Case Officer:	Jo Morin

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Leonard Saunders
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	51 Boundstone Lane, Sompting, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 9QR

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Added to Speaker List
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	Gary Peck Planning Services Manager Dear Gary This planning application has been submitted by my next door neighbour 49 Boundstone Lane AWDM/1061/16 and even with the alterations it will still impact on our wellbeing the proposed extension will still GROSSLEY OVERDEVELOPE the property and take away natural daylight from our lounge window which is only a half width window (18inches wide) as it will still be in constant shade from the proposed additional extension it will still take away light from our conservatory because it will still be overshadowed that by approximately half its length especially during the autumn and winter months The distance between our bungalow wall and my neighbours is approximately 5foot which reduces the amount of natural light quite dramatically especially in the autumn winter time when the sun is lower in the sky. The present extension on their property means that we need to have the light on in our second bedroom (which we use as a study) all the time and I fear the same will happen to our lounge If the object is to add an extra bedroom I would suggest that instead of the ground floor part of the

application be re designated a bedroom instead of a gym this would resolve the additional bedroom requirement? By reason of its design, bulk and position the proposed rear roof extension would appear obtrusive, alien and discordant and accordingly, harmful to the appearance of this house and the character and appearance of the wider area. The extension is therefore contrary to policy 16 of the adopted Core Strategy, policies H16 and H18 of the saved Local Plan, Supplementary Planning Guidance 'Extending and Altering your Home' and the National Planning Policy Framework Will you please do something to have this application rejected for us Thanking you in anticipation Len Saunders