**Debbie Johnson**

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Planning |
| **Sent:** | 19 January 2015 19:37 |
| **To:** | Planning |
| **Subject:** | Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1750/14 |

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 7:40 PM on 19 Jan 2015 from Mr Ray Hillman.

## Application Summary

| | |
|---|---|
| **Address:** | Basepoint Business Centre Little High Street Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5EG |
| **Proposal:** | Application for advertisement consent to display "Ropetackle" lettering in addition to "Basepoint" lettering on east, north and south elevations |
| **Case Officer:** | Peter Barnett |

Click for further information

## Customer Details

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name:** | Mr Ray Hillman |
| **Email:** | [redacted] |
| **Address:** | 79 Greenways Crescent Shoreham-by-Sea |

## Comments Details

| | |
|---|---|
| **Commenter Type:** | Member of the Public |
| **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** | - Design<br>- Other |
| **Comments:** | They did not have permission to replace the signage in the first place. They should be instructed to remove it and replace the original signage. That is the most satisfactory solution as far as am concerned. However, rather than signage displayed in the submitted plan, I would suggest that the large vertical sign should be reinstated as 'Ropetackle' and the smaller horizontal sign should say 'Basepoint' - if the latter is needed at all. If this is the case then one would assume that everytime this property changes hands the signage will have to change too. |