From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 17 May 2023 20:30
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0192/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/05/2023 8:30 PM from Mr Paul Johnson.

Application Summary

Address:	4 Hawkins Crescent Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 6TP
Proposal:	Retention of rear raised patio and balustrades (retrospective)
Case Officer:	Hannah Barker

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Paul Johnson
Address:	2 Hawkins Crescent, Shoreham-by-sea, West Sussex BN43 6TP

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	Had the proposal been submitted prior to development we would have commented and requested the raised area was lower, which would have provided a safe patio area whilst reducing the impact on neighbouring properties (for context we didn't comment on the previous two applications). By consulting retrospectively, options that could have been reasonably accommodated would now have significant implications, placing us in a fairly invidious position. For this reason it has always been our intention to find a practical way forward by supporting a screening solution, provided it is not reasonably overbearing and is protected by planning consent, so remains as per the application. Concerning this application, we would request the screening is 1.8m from the retained wall to

approximately the point the steps descend into the garden, as this appears to be the Planning Office's normal recommendation; It's the area closest to our living space - this being the area we would like to protect our privacy. We would request the screening is kept at its proposed height from the top of the steps going forwards to protect the visual amenity for both parties. This proposed adjustment doesn't materially affect our neighbours due to it running mostly alongside the extension.

Admittedly this adjustment may seem minor, however the Planning Office's recommended height has been calculated based on experience. Our own experience, given the patio is built, leads us to believe this is likely to be a more suitable height for the area mentioned. It seems sensible to be consistent with the normal height recommendation in the area closest to our living space on the basis the Planning Officer is confident the current proposal protects our privacy and is adequate to prevent overlooking into our Dining Room.

Kind regards