Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0670/19

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 20 May 2019 at 17:09
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 5:15 PM on 20 May 2019 from Mr Christopher Kraszewski.

Application Summary

Address: 79 - 81 Brighton Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex

Proposal: Change of Use of part of ground floor from retail (A1 Class Use) to Gym (D2 Class Use) with 17 parking spaces

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Christopher Kraszewski

Email: [redacted]

Address: Tony Stitt Fitness, 394 - 398 Brighton Road, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 6RT

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Loss of General Amenity
- Other
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: Three Sixty Fitness is a family run business employing over 20+ members of staff. If this business goes ahead it will jeopardise the going concern of their livelihood and our business.
It's an infringement of our business rights, to trade open and fairly in a local demographic area.
The public currently have a wide choice within a 5 mile radius of other fitness business in and around the local area - To have another same business opposite, over looking ours, will lead to claiming compensations and costs against the council for inappropriate considerations.
We were discouraged from applying for a 24 hour trading license because we were in and around residents. We have 4 flats above, I fail to see how the impact of Three Sixty Fitness "applying for 24 hours" had on four flats when the proposed site has hundreds of flats opposite.
The noise evaluation reporting was carried out with low occupancy and in low season.
We were given a couple of weeks notice for this

proposal to become public, most reports were carried out last year by the intended - this was a unfair advantage towards Three Sixty Fitness - to give an open and fair perspective on collating any reports to support this objection. This period should be extended.

Our members use the Tarmount Road car park. This is the only car park in this area, with limited paying spaces already. If the proposed site members use this too, it will impact not only on the local community using it for shopping in and around the area, but impact on our business with members traveling out of the area and seeking other memberships.

The proposed site is a multi national, having the likes of Mcdonalds under their banner. How can we compete fairly with their infrastructure and influences.