Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Fwd: AWDM/0761/15 FENCE PLANNING

1 message

punchmetz@aol.com [redacted] 15 June 2015 at 20:21
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Matthew Porter,

Your letter of 9th inst, following your letter of 28th ult, appeared at first to be almost identical but you failed to point out that it is now 2.3 m compared to 2.25m.
Apart from being an eyesore and completely out of character with the area it will exclude most of the light through our window it will completely darken our front door and hall making it necessary to use lights for fear of bumping with my electric chair.
41 had similar problems when he installed his fence in front of their kitchen window.
As I said previously, call around and see for yourself.

John Metz
45 Downside

---Original Message---
[redacted]
Subject: AWDM/0761/15 FENCE PLANNING

John H Metz
45 Downside
Shoreham-by-Sea
[redacted]

Matthew Porter,

Your Ref. AWDM/0761/15 Fencing 43 Downside

We vehemently object to fencing above the normal 2 meter hight on the West wall as it is not necessary, will interfere with our light and take away our view affecting the value of our property.

The history is that when the occupant of 43 Downside moved in the garden was denuded, all shrubs and trees destroyed (including a 30'+ Sycamore tree) Completely exposing the garden and ourselves. A large decking was built on the back and the whole of the ground rear of the building was knocked out and made into a large opening glass door. This exposed us further.
The occupant then realised that our little kitchen window now overlooked his decking as a result he went down to planning and ask for our plans for our extension out of archives to study them. It was found that the window should have been frosted and objected.

Your Claire Pink came out to see and ruled that the same window had been there prior to 1999, not noticed by ourselves or the planning inspector and should remain.

In addition, the occupant of 43 also has all his fences facing inwards (unlike normal persons) and would look even more unsightly.

Because of the exposure, I personally (from an electric wheelchair taking me 3 months) constructed with the aid of my wife, a 50' trellis and have planted 10s of climbing plants up it (roses, clematis, jasmine etc.) to make a tasteful boundary. This on the advice of Claire Pink was no higher than 2 metres including post caps and in no way touches his wall as we noticed him looking and feared further complaint.
His wall has the benefit of my posts as it is cracked and broken to a large degree and would not support more height in wind and could possibly be dangerous .

You never mentioned my kitchen window yet you did in your letter to No 41?

The construction on the East side is not my concern although it is is actually built over the boundery on 41 s land and is 41 s boundary and part paid for by 41.

Again we vehemently object a and would be more than happy for you to call around to us and see for yourself.