Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0032/21

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 22 February 2021 at 16:07
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/02/2021 4:07 PM from Mrs kim matthews.

Application Summary

Address:	108 Old Fort Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5HB
Proposal:	(Revised description for clarity) Retrospective consent for increased depth of balcony with privacy screens and removal of spiral staircase (Alterations to previously approved AWDM/0363/19).
Case Officer:	Hannah Barker

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs kim matthews
Address:	110 Old Fort Road, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5HB

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	I would like to further object to the extra-large balcony already built at 108 old fort road for the following reasons. The balcony in its already planning permission passed size of 4 metres, remains the largest on old fort road as far as i can see. To add a further .300 m on top may not seem a lot but it adds to the already significant loss of light, enjoyment of my garden. The look of the structure from my side and from every angle of the back of my house is overbearing. This current structure is enormous and out of keeping with the size of the development & is a clear demonstration of over development. The privacy screens themselves will enhance this overpowering look at 4.3 metre deep x 6ft high. From my property it will resemble a first floor tall wall. What really concerns me is that no neighbourly thought has been considered by either the applicate or by Adur planning. My property 110 was not mine at the time and was an empty probate as the applicant was fully aware. Plans were passed just

prior to my ownership. To allow a balcony of this size for occasional use, (as balconies are along the sea front), yet to know it will ruin the daily enjoyment of the neighbour's views, plus the light shadowing/lack of light this will cause from 2pm onwards in certain rooms at 110, along with potential noise at first floor right outside my current family bathroom seems careless.
The applicant has built a balcony the wrong size. It would never have been passed prior to being built and it should not be allowed now.
I understand to lose the sunset views that this house has enjoyed for the past 60 years is neither here nor there as far as Adur Planning guidelines allow, but i have sent many photos showing the loss of my glorious sunsets regardless showing the enormity of the loss of amenity from my side. Please give this some consideration as should 108 consider.
Applicant should be asked to move her pillars back and reduce the decking. Alternatively, applicant and planning could consider moving it to the middle where it will have no impact on myself or either side neighbour, or to Jackie and will enhance Jackies enjoyment of the structure as she will be further away from noise from my garden. In its current 4.3 length to move the structure to the middle of her plot without the balustrades in place would be just as easy to move from a builder's point of view as would taking off some of its length. I have offered to pay for this.
If you allow this to pass it will set a precedent for anyone else along Old Fort Road.
If you will not consider any of the above, I ask that the privacy screens are removed from the application in order that we can see through the glass balcony allowing light to a degree and enjoyment somewhat and be in agreement that I will be allowed to erect a structure with the same depth to regain what I will be losing is 108s balcony is permitted in its current form.

Thank you.

Kind regards