From: jordan cathcart <jordan_cathcart@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 10 February 2011 13:32
To: Peter Barnett
Subject: RE: 43 old fort rd amended design statement

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Peter barnett,

Thanks for the reply to my last email and appreciate the concerns with the current design. Obviously neighbouring community and the planning committee and feel it is best if the application is withdrawn to this date to

After reading you email regarding the concerns with the design, I have looked at the design and feel it is possible to floor layout, I understand the concerns with the overhand to the front of the building towards the neighbours privacy implemented.

Is there any over concerns you have with the building once I have produced new plans etc?

Regards

Jordan

--- On **Tue, 8/2/11, Peter Barnett <*Peter.Barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk*>** wrote:

From: Peter Barnett <Peter.Barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 43 old fort rd amended design statement
To: "'jordan cathcart'" <jordan_cathcart@yahoo.co.uk>
Date: Tuesday, 8 February, 2011, 15:22

Dear Mr Cathcart

Thanks for your email.

I have read the objections from local residents and I note your response.

I must advise you that I still have serious concerns about the scale and bulk of the proposed dwellings. W Fort Road, these developments have taken place on larger, wider plots and have tended to be, in the ma has taken place on the north side of the road but the scale and form of those developments is considered

Your proposal is very large and box-like with a deep footprint. In spite of the amendments made to date, boundaries results in a rather cramped and dominant development, the impact of which is accentuated b building line of its neighbours (in relation to the principal elevation rather than the line of their single store window in the side roofslope, is considered to be unneighbourly. The building may be at the same height roof and low eaves of No.45.

The distance to the dwelling in The Meadway at the rear is about 17.5m, which is less than the usual 22m impact on the occupiers' outlook and their perceived sense of being overlooked. I do not agree with your deciduous fig tree on the boundary which enabled clear views through at this time of year. The sun path winter months, and some light loss to The Meadway will occur.

To conclude, I consider that the development is too much for the site and I regret that I cannot support th application. It is likely to be refused under delegated powers next week if I do not hear from you.

Yours sincerely

Peter Barnett

Peter Barnett - Principal Planning Officer Development Management, Adur District Council
Location: Portland House, Richmond Road, Worthing, West Sussex BN11 1LF
Internal: (8) 1310 | External: 01903 221310 | E-mail: peter.barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Please think before you print this and save paper

From: jordan cathcart [mailto:jordan_cathcart@yahoo.co.uk]
Sent: 06 February 2011 14:33
To: Peter Barnett
Subject: RE: 43 old fort rd amended design statement

Dear Peter Barnet,
Today I have just had a meeting with No 41 Old fort Road Shoreham about the ongoing planning issues.

I measured the length of their property which measures 12.1m long. After referring back to the original block plan this measure permission to measure within their boundaries, the rough measurement stands at 15.4m. I cannot see how the os plan is this

I have attached a pdf of the sun path diagram for the rear of the property, again I cannot see this as an issue due to us keepin height of the tree is in excess of 5m add this with the distance apart from the two properties it will add no significant light lose the neighbour declaring in the meeting the other week he likes the tree there as it gives him shading from the direct sunlight in

After reading through the objection letters it is clear that several of the neighbours still do not understand the nature of the pro street. It is clear that the design is not in keeping with the original post war dwellings which are a health hazard themselves, bu creating this new vernacular for the area. The massing of the property is in keeping with several new builds on the street whic time and time again. in terms of the parking issues both properties have sufficient space for 2 parking spaces each with bike e meaning there is efficient space for parking as there is the encouragement of using public transport. In terms of supposedly ov as I stated that the windows used for the side bedrooms would be above head height allowing for maximum natural light into t

The overhanging box sections at the front of the property are also misinterpreted as you can see from the design and access the property being south facing, these overhangs have been reduced to a minimal 700mm which will have no bearing impact

In terms of flood risk to the property this is the same for every property on the street as everyone is vulnerable to this issue, w who has been building on this street for the last 20 years, has informed us of the needs to reduce this risk with the use of a flo

I would like to bring up again that the roof garden has been removed from the proposal but access is needed for the services

During the meeting it came to light that several neighbours have sat together and spoke of taking a stand to over rule the plan engineering as the planning decision is suppose to be about the needs of the locals and architectural aesthetics of the local ar

I think it would be beneficial if we could arrange another meeting to discuss these matters further.

Regards

Jordan Cathcart

hing.gov.uk> wrote:

From: Peter Barnett <Peter.Barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 43 old fort rd amended design statement
To: "'jordan cathcart'" <jordan_cathcart@yahoo.co.uk>
Date: Friday, 21 January, 2011, 14:42

Dear Mr Cathcart

I have checked your revised Block Plan and there appear to be inaccuracies. The lengths of Nos 45 and 47 are shown as bei
appear to be different.

Would you please check and submit an accurate 1:500 Scale Block Plan as soon as possible.

Thanks

Peter Barnett

Peter Barnett - Principal Planning Officer Development Management, A
Location: Portland House, Richmond Road , Worthing , West Susse
Internal: (8) 1310 | External: 01903 221310 | E-mail: peter.barnett@adu

Please think before you print this and save paper

From: jordan cathcart [mailto:jordan_cathcart@yahoo.co.uk]
Sent: 05 January 2011 19:20
To: Peter Barnett
Subject: 43 old fort rd amended design statement

This message has been archived.

Dear Mr Barnett,
Hope you had a good christmas and new year celebrations! sorry for the delay with these documents I have be
celebrations with the family as you could imagine.

I have attached an amended design statement of the changes to the building along with a sun path report on the neighbourin
information feel free to contact me on email or phone.

Regards

Jordan Cathcart

Attachments:

ammended design statement.pdf

****

Important Information and Disclaimer.

Please do not print this e-mail unless necessary.

The domain from which this e-mail is sent is not indicative of the Council on whose behalf it is sent. The author will indicate in the body of the e-mail on whose behalf it

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email i party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email.

This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please note be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and delete the material from any computer and destroy any printed copy.

Adur District Council and Worthing Borough Council cannot guarantee that this message or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or chan Borough Council. Any opinions or other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Adur District Council and / or Worthing Borou

****

****

Important Information and Disclaimer.

Please do not print this e-mail unless necessary.

The domain from which this e-mail is sent is not indicative of the Council on whose behalf it is sent. The author will indicate in the body of the e-mail on whose behalf it i

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email.

This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please note be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and delete the material from any computer and destroy any printed copy.

Adur District Council and Worthing Borough Council cannot guarantee that this message or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or chang Borough Council. Any opinions or other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Adur District Council and / or Worthing Borou

****