Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0255/17

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 17 March 2017 at 14:08
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:13 PM on 17 Mar 2017 from Mr Alan Robb.

Application Summary

Address: 21 Brierley Gardens Lancing West Sussex BN15 9EF

Proposal: Householder application for permitted development for prior approval for single storey extension to rear of property measuring 4m from rear wall of the original house, maximum height 2.7m and height of eaves of the extension 2.7m

Case Officer: Dawn Ayres

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Alan Robb

Email: [redacted]

Address: 20 Brierley Gardens, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 9EF

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Loss of General Amenity
- Overdevelopment

Comments: We would like to object to the proposed extension at 21 Brierley Gardens on the following grounds.

Depth
Siting
Drainage

We were advised by our neighbours that they were planning an extension a few months ago and they would discuss the plans when ready. We have had no communication since until receipt of the notification.

The first objection is on the depth. Our house is the middle dwelling of a terrace of three. Our extension is not full width (4065mm) and is 2700mm deep. Our neighbours (no 19) to the left have an extension which is 3500mm deep and is set 900mm from his fence which we have no problem with. Our objection is based on the 4000mm depth proposed by No21. If we had been consulted we would have made known our concerns and suggested a depth of 3500mm and hopefully agreed on that depth and set back of same wall

The second objection is on the siting against our

brick built wall. It would appear that it is planned tight against our wall and would undermine the integrity of our wall. Our concern is how the foundations can be built without disturbing the wall and the quality of the finish we can expect on our visible side.

The next point of objection is on drainage. At present No19 drains under our property to a manhole under a lean to. We intend to replace the lean to this Spring. The manhole is sited 200mm from our dividing wall. Extreme care would have to be taken not to disturb the manhole and drainage which continues under their proposed extension to the new proposed manhole.

Lastly, I would like to request that if consent is given the conditions for demolition of existing conservatory, construction and deliveries are restricted to Monday-Friday 08.00 - 18.00 Saturday 09.00 - 13.00 with no work allowed on Sundays and Bank Holidays