From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 14 December 2023 00:36
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1560/23

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/12/2023 12:36 AM from Ms Paula Mitton.

Application Summary

Address:	Telephone Exchange 1 Tarmount Lane Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 6DA
Proposal:	A proposed 2 metre extension to existing headframe, removal of 6No Antennas at existing installation, installation of 1No CSC cabinet on new concrete base, installation of 12No Antennas on existing support poles, installation of 2No 0.3m dishes at 18.7m on the existing headframes and the installation of associated ancillary equipment to aid in facilitating 5G technology. Located on the rooftop of Shoreham ATE.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Ms Paula Mitton
Address:	29 The Drive, Worthing, West Sussex BN11 5LN

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Other
Comments:	The taller headframe of the installation, extended by 2 metres, will create extra unsightly visual clutter and will degrade the appearance of this conservation area. It will be situated opposite the Sunshine Day Nursery at 6 Tarmount Lane - this was not on the Neighbours Notified list. It is also close to a pre-school playgroup at the Methodist church. Both of these would be considered 'sensitive receptors'. The Council has previously refused applications for telecoms masts that are in close proximity to schools. Children are not covered by the ICNIRP guidelines, neither are those with metal/medical

implants, so a large section of the population will be at risk from harm caused by high frequency electro-magnetic radiation.

The ICNIRP 'Declaration of Conformity' has a lack of robust information - the designer does not give a full name and works for a company called 'TS2' but there are 15 TS2 companies registered with Companies House. In addition the declaration states that the installation "is designed to be in full compliance with the requirements of the radio frequency (RF) public exposure guidelines of the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)", whereas paragraph 117(b) of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires "a statement that self-certifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission guidelines on non-ionising radiation protection". I would say that 'designed' differs from 'operational'.

The installation will be located just over 1km from Brighton City Airport, with the risk of interference of the microwave radiation with air traffic control (it is within the 3km exclusion zone), however there is no evidence of a consultation with the airport, which is required in the application, under paragraph 117a of the NPPF. Under paragraph 116 Local Authorities should ensure that "they have evidence to demonstrate that electronic communications infrastructure is not expected to cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest".

The document '5G Helping to tackle climate change' is misleading as 5G will use enormous amounts of energy. It claims that it will replace older generations of networks, however new 5G towers have antennas for 3G and 4G as well.

In summary, the proposed development does not comply with the NPPF and therefore prior approval should not be granted.

Kind regards