From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 08 June 2023 10:13
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0128/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/06/2023 10:12 AM from Mrs Krisztina Dunks.

Application Summary

Address:	Rosecroft The Street Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 5NJ
Proposal:	Raising of the roof ridge height and remodelling of bungalow to form a two storey pitched roof dwelling, first floor rear balcony and raised patio with balustrade to rear
Case Officer:	Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Krisztina Dunks
Address:	10 Lesser Foxholes, Shoreham-by-sea, West Sussex BN43 5NT

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	The design of the new dwelling is totally out of character for the area and will be overtaking the view of the area. The existing property is already on the highest point of the area. Having a raised rear patio area with 4-5 steps and raising the bungalow's existing roof height with all glass windows across would mean that Rosecroft would over look all gardens of 10 Lesser Foxholes. The proposed property would be out of character for the area.

Having a raised patio area, living area and another living area upstairs with large open windows over looking our house would mean that we would lose all our privacy.
The outside lights of the rear patio area, that is also raised would would lit up all our sleeping accommodations upstairs and downstairs as they are looking over us.
We would lose our privacy and this development would significantly affect our general amenity and living conditions.

Not mentioning potential financial loss if we were going to sell the property. Not having privacy and being overlooked would reduce the value of our property.

We strongly object against this development!

Kind regards