Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Fwd: Comment for AWDM/1590/18 sent by email as website is down

1 message

Peter Barnett <peter.barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 12 November 2018 at 14:11
To: Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Ivan Farrow** [redacted]
Date: 12 November 2018 at 14:08
Subject: Comment for AWDM/1590/18 sent by email as website is down
To: Peter Barnett <peter.barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk>, "peter.barnett@adur.gov.uk" <peter.barnett@adur.gov.uk>

Dear Mr Barnett

I am sorry that my neighbours have become so concerned with my replacement garage and I would not normally react to their comments. However I feel that I cannot let them continue misrepresenting the facts without clarification.

I have evidence to show that the facts are:-

The new doors were NOT "delivered by a local glass company many weeks before the build". The glass company mentioned were fitting the windows required by Condition 8 and did not supply the doors

However the second-hand up-and-over door was delivered by a local company. Perhaps there was some confusion re the two companies.

We bought and collected the glazed doors personally

No complaint has ever been made by my neighbours about the use of my mowers by the occupants of 27 Norbury who have been using two of my mowers (consecutively) for the last three years . The mower, because of its cutting width, only needs to be used for about 5 minutes a week in summer.

It is possible to park my car in the garage along with 4 bikes, lawnmower, garden furniture, work-bench, garden tools etc and I have a photo if you wish me to send it to you

There will be no overlooking of neighbouring properties from the double doors when the prescribed fences are erected and no one will be able to see the doors unless they are in the back garden of 27 Norbury Drive.

I was advised that a simple application to change the door specification to provide light and better access would be necessary but I was then told of the incorrect application of the conditions in AWDM/1272/15 and was advised to add the change of these conditions to the application AWDM/1421/18. I was subsequently advised that this was not the correct way to change conditions so had to withdraw that application and submit this one.

The drawings of the garage were commissioned by the developer and completed by their architect without reference to me. I understood from initial discussions that a double window and glazed door would be incorporated but this obviously got lost in the translation into a drawing. The application to move the garage and change the dimensions (AWDM/0915/17) were also their responsibility. I was not aware at this point that the conditions for the garages of the new buildings had been applied to the garage at 27 Norbury Drive. I assumed that as a replacement garage it automatically could be used in the same way as the original garage and saw no need to scrutinise the conditions.

Yours Sincerely

I K Farrow