Fwd: Case reference: AWDM/1209/21 - 17m 5G mast at Buckingham Park, Portslade

1 message

[redacted]

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **carol franks** <[redacted]>
Date: Fri, 30 Jul 2021 at 12:48
Subject: Re: Case reference: AWDM/1209/21 - 17m 5G mast at Buckingham Park, Portslade
To: <peter.barnett@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: <emma.evans@adur.gov.uk>, <kevin.boram@adur.gov.uk>

Dear Mr. Barnett,

Re: Case reference: AWDM/1209/21 - 17m 5G mast at Buckingham Park, Portslade.

I am writing to comment on the above application because 5G installations concern us all.

Apart from the unsightly appearance of masts such as this, causing clutter to the street scene and spoiling this beautiful country, I am concerned about the safety of the children in this instance.

As mentioned in the applicant's documents, this application is for a mast in close proximity to a playgroup, a nursery and 2 primary schools, when there are two masts already within 130m of this application.

The application location is also close to the children's play area in Buckingham Park.

I ask if the appropriate consultations have been carried out with the Education Centres mentioned including by contacting via recorded delivery?

Councils are being told by government that they cannot accept objections on health grounds, but this is contradictory to The European Electronic Communications Code (EECC) that requires Local Planning Authorities to recognize and act with the - *'need to ensure that citizens are not exposed to electromagnetic fields at a level harmful to public health is imperative'* (EECC Recital 110). This code was brought into UK law in 2020.

Matt Warman, in his intention to bring into law the taking away of the right of the Local Authority Planning Departments to decide on 5G installation application decision-making, has no responsibility for Public Health,(nor Knowledge in that area, I suspect).

Furthermore, he will be breaking with the European Electronics Communications Code (EECC) written into UK law, allowing fairness of Tech companies working throughout Europe.

The public need and expect their Planning Authorities to be raising this matter formally with Ministers, and demanding resources and proper guidance on how to fulfil this obligation set out by the EECC and not to be ignoring the potential health threat of 5G technology by relying on outdated and unreliable guidance from PHE or ICNIRP.

I have attached a report that has been written by two MEPs – Klaus Buchner and Michele Rivasi.

'This report deals with an issue of which the importance cannot be overrated: the possible health effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) or electro-magnetic fields (EMFs); It deals more specifically with how the scientific debate has been hijacked by corporate interests from the Telecom industry and conflicts of interest.'

Please also see ES-UK's:

Adverse Health Effects of M.P.Masts & Planning Policy.pdf (es-uk.info) with notes and references.

http://www.es-uk.info/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Adverse%20Health%20Effects%20of%20M.P.Masts%20&%20Planning%20Policy.pdf

"The ICNIRP guidelines 1998 are still used by the UK government both for short-term exposures, as from mobile phones calls, and for long-term exposures, as from radiation zones around mobile phone masts. This means that the UK effectively has no appropriate safety guidelines for long-term exposure and health effects from masts."

Furthermore, there are 'Countries with radio frequency radiation (RFR) wireless exposure limits more stringent than ICNIRP/FCC' demonstrated by Environmental Health Trust's (EHT) site as headings below:

Worldwide Policy – Wireless in Schools; North America Teacher Unions – Wi-Fi in schools; Parent Teacher Associations – Cell Towers and Wireless; US Policy – Cell Towers Near Schools; Worldwide Policy – 5G and Cell Towers; Wi-Fi, Wireless, 5G and Cell Towers – Legal and Liability Issues; Sources of Wireless in Schools; School Best Practices – Safe Technology; How to Ethernet Connect; Safe Technology in a Hybrid Classroom and Published Research Studies – Wireless Radiation:

https://files.constantcontact.com/7a1d285f301/85af2e5f-be68-4550-a3bb-0c28e9917570.pdf

Here are 28 Summary findings of Cell Towers Transmitter Studies.

https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/107222548308131/Cell%20Tower%20Transmitter%20Studies-28%20Summary%20Findings.pdf

The government and Corporations would have all 'concerned people' labelled as 'Conspiracy Theorists' – well, with all the evidence, I would ask, 'Who are the true Conspirators?'

Authorities also need to take into account Climate Change:

The expansion of the use of digital technology and the 5G wireless network is a major contributor to increased energy consumption when we are being told by our Local Authorities that huge changes need to be made to address Climate Change.

The energy consumption of mobile phone usage is projected to increase by 170% by 2026 as a result of 5G.

By 2030 information technology will consume one fifth of all global electricity, with a carbon footprint equal to the entire global aviation industry.
Smart tech's carbon footprint:

https://theecologist.org/2020/apr/30/smart-techs-carbon-footprint

Please also see – 1. Sustainability and the Planning System

https://rfinfo.co.uk/carbon-footprint-of-5g/

And:

Technological Developments with No Future - Istanbul Gelisim University; What Impact are data Centres having on Climate Change? - Computerworld and China's Data Centres produce as much carbon emissions as 21 million cars - CNN

https://lisansustu.gelisim.edu.tr/en/departments/economy-and-finance-master-non-thesis-254/news/technological-developments-with-no-future

https://www.computerworld.com/article/3431148/why-data-centres-are-the-new-frontier-in-the-fight-against-climate-change.html

https://edition.cnn.com/2019/09/10/asia/china-data-center-carbon-emissions-intl-hnk/index.html

We are being told that 5G is needed for fast broadband when most already have this and if not, ultrafast, full fibre broadband, replacing old, copper cables with fibre-optic cables which run directly from the exchange into homes and businesses, bring greater reliability and faster speeds, future-proofing the network locally for decades to come and are a safer way forward both for mankind and the planet.

5G is in fact for Robots, Driverless cars; AI, Surveillance and weaponry.

Is all this worth risking life, health and the planet?

Having watched Panorama '**Panorama: Are You Scared Yet, Human? (BBC One Wed 26 May 2021)** 'Artificial Intelligence has already sparked a new arms race between China and the US.

Experts warn that without urgent regulation, we could lose control of AI.

It is the responsibility of all of us, especially those in positions of power to take the action that is required to protect us all.

Please reject this application.

Thank you in anticipation that you have read my comments.

Yours sincerely,

Carol A. Franks

Linden Lea

Cherry Park Close

Torquay

TQ2 6SY