From: **Richard Small**
Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2024 at 16:29
Subject: Re: AWDM/1120/24 : Old Swan Cottage etc., LBC replace exg winds & glazed doors with double glazed door with double glazed units etc.
To: Eve Hearsey

Hi Eve,

Firstly I should point out that when I visited this property with the Enforcement team, works were still progressing, there was scaffolding over much of the building and we did not have any reason to go inside the building. Hence at the time it was only the first floor south facing window that was partly visible and hence the double glazed units were spotted.

As this application is subsequent to the works having been carried out, it would be particularly helpful if the Heritage Statement update included photographs of the double glazed windows fitted to compare directly with those showing the windows now removed. The description of the works includes the word retrospective, and if LB consent were to be granted to retain unauthorised works this would not be classified as "retrospective". Hence this word should be removed from the description of the works.

The simple single pane side opening casements are unlikely to be contentious. It is the vertical sliding sashes that require careful consideration. I picked up that various windows had different forms of glazing bars when looking at the previous application and requested further information:

The window sections are very basic and do not show the internal mouldings. Looking at the photographs these currently vary (look at windows F & H). The profile of window H is likely to be original, whilst window F shows a later profile. We currently do not appear to have any details of the proposed. The meeting rail of window F appears to be slimmer than the 30mm shown on the 'survey' drawings.

A Glazing Bar Profiles drawing showing various different existing types that was issued stating that the new bars were to match the existing. The current application also re-issues the same drawing and notes. However the updated Heritage Statement also shows a single drawing showing the *updated proposed glazing information* from the contractor. Hence we have no photographic proof that the glazing bars now match those of the removed windows. The only photographs showing any glazing bars are external and only show a door and one window. The glazing bar to the window looks very different to the photograph alongside it of the old window.

In the circumstances, where it should be very straightforward to ascertain if the unauthorised windows match those removed, we do not have sufficient information. I would suggest that further photographs of the unauthorised windows are submitted as a matter of urgency.

Regards

Richard