WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION
RECEIVED - 8 AUG 2011
On Comp
Admin.
In Report (D/C)
Post Admin.

A2/F

Deborah DelGreco

From: Planning
Sent: 07 August 2011 10:33
To: Planning
Subject: Application Comments for 10/0852/OUT

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10:33 AM on 07 Aug 2011 from Mrs Elizabeth Lewis.

Application Summary

Address: Teville Gate Worthing West Sussex BN11 1UA

Proposal: Outline application for the demolition of existing buildings and erection of a mixed use development comprising multi screen cinema, restaurants and cafes, foodstore, hotel, health and fitness centre, offices, multi-function conference and exhibition centre, two residential towers containing 229 apartments together with 19 apartments on a new residential frontage to Teville Road and 12 apartments on a new residential frontage to Broadwater Road, 967 car parking spaces together with a new partially covered pedestrian arcade, landscaping and urban realm and access arrangements

Case Officer: James Appleton

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Elizabeth Lewis

Email: [redacted]

Address: 20 Forest Road Worthing

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Overdevelopment

Comments: The height of the proposed flats are totally detrimental to the surrounding area and will destroy the ambience of the town. The number of flats proposed and the type of retail etc will cause an exteremely large increase to traffic in an area that is already considerably congested at certain times of the day. Additionally acess would be problematic by road. Parking is already a problem in the town, and although some provision has been made, is it adequate? The additoon of yet another supermarket is totoally inappropirate with a large Morrisons across the road from the site. The town already has many vacant retail sites, which have been vacant for many months, why add more?