WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL CONSULTATION

TO:	Adur & Worthing Councils FAO:Marie O'Keeffe
FROM:	WSCC - Highways Authority
DATE:	26 April 2021
LOCATION:	55 Hammy Way Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 6GH
SUBJECT:	AWDM/0556/21 Proposed rear and side single-storey extension to north and east elevations for use as attached annexe.
DATE OF SITE VISIT:	n/a
RECOMMENDATION:	Advice
S106 CONTRIBUTION TOTAL:	n/a

This application has been dealt with in accordance with the Development Control Scheme protocol for small scale proposals which include up to 5 residential units or extensions to single units accessed from roads that do not form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN). As such the comments provided by Local Development should be considered to be advice only, with respect to this planning application.

This proposal has been considered by means of a desktop study, using the information and plans submitted with this application, in conjunction with other available WSCC map information. A site visit can be arranged on request.

I refer to your consultation in respect of the above planning application and would provide the following comments.

This proposal is rear and side single-storey extension to north and east elevations for use as attached annex. The site is located on Hammy Way which is an unclassified road subject to 30mph speed limit.

There are no proposed alterations to the existing access arrangements. An inspection of data supplied to WSCC by Sussex Police over a period of the past five years reveals that there have been no recorded injury accidents within the vicinity of the site. Therefore, there is no evidence to suggest that the existing accesses are operating unsafely or that the proposal would exacerbate an existing safety concern.

Given that the proposed annexe would be associated with the main dwelling, this proposal is not anticipated to result in a material intensification of use onto Hammy Way or the wider road network.

No additional parking is proposed for the new annex. The WSCC Car Parking Demand (PDC) would expect 1 parking space to be provided. The potential shortfall of one space is not anticipated to result in a highway safety issued given that there are comprehensive parking restrictions prohibiting vehicles from parking in places that would be detriment to highway safety. The LPA may wish to consider any implication from amenity perspective.

Conclusion

The LHA does not consider that this proposal would have an unacceptable impact on highway safety or result in 'severe' cumulative impacts on the operation of the highway network, therefore is not contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 109), and that there are no transport grounds to resist the proposal.

Anastasia Toleva
West Sussex County Council – Planning Services