



planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
to me ▾

16 Sept 2026, 15:32 (2 days ago)

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/09/2026 3:31 PM from Mr Stephen Porter.

Application Summary

Address: Land South Of Salt Marsh Road Old Shoreham Road Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex

Proposal: Retrospective application for siting an InPost Parcel Locker

Case Officer: Marie O'Keeffe

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Porter

Email:

Address:

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for
comment:

- Highway Access and Parking

Comments:

Van and later a Car completely blocking my access on Pedestrian path.

I have a disability and use a Wheelchair Tri-bike Hand Cycle every day for exercise. I live on The Waterfront Estate adjacent to the Ropetackle Estate. The only access from Waterfront to the River Path to complete my 'run' is by taking the pedestrian path under the railway bridge to turn into the Ropetackle Estate.

On two occasions, once on Monday 14/09, a large van servicing the new InPost Parcel Locker, was parked up up the kerb straddling over the road, Yellow Lines and the pedestrian pathway, completely blocking my pedestrian access under the railway bridge path.

Trying to cross the road safely and then return to the same path after passing the van on the other side of road was near impossible. There was no lowered kerb, my view of the road was highly challenged by the road. I was nearly runover by an articulated lorry. This was unsafe, scary and I will not do that again. The second time (today) a car was parked in exact same place adjacent to the InPost Locker, straddling the road, kerb and blocking my access. This time I had no choice but, to wait ten minutes or so for the woman to return to her car. This is not right, its a public nuisance! Its unsafe for me, other wheelchair users and prams/ pushchairs. It's an appalling location and if planning is granted this is good as it gets to be an accident waiting to happen, let alone inconveniencing normal pedestrian access to pass under the bridge. To the Planning Committee, please show some common sense and refuse this quite silly location.

Thank you.

Kind regards