From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 23 May 2023 23:49
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0536/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/05/2023 11:49 PM from Mrs Neda Izadi.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Junction Of Boundary Road Grand Avenue Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Application for determination as to whether prior approval will be required for proposed 15.0m 5G Phase 8 Monopole and associated ancillary works.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Neda Izadi
Address:	74 Manor Drive, London N20 0DU

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Loss of General Amenity
Comments:	I wish to object to the proposed mast and associated ground cabinets that are visually and physically obtrusive and incongruous to the local area. I wonder if there has been a robust evidence of a search for alternative sites? Does the Council have evidence to show that the residents want this mast, considering the proposed location is less than 500 meters away from a nursery school? A 2019 document by Joshua Pearce titled " Limiting Liability with Positioning to minimize Negative Health Effects of Cellular Phone Towers" recommends that telecom companies site masts should be at least 500 meters away from schools (www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31791710/) The distance of the nursery school to the proposed location measures 404.03 meters. Considering children are more vulnerable to microwave radiation than adults (see "On the

Clear Evidence of the Risks to Children from Non-ionizing Radiofrequency Radiation" by prof. Tom Butler),

This is of particular importance, when the proposed mast will be rather ugly, obtrusive and incongruous with the surrounding area - something that would result in detriment to the visual amenities of the area, let alone the harmful impact on the outlook of the nearby residential properties, businesses and other workplaces.

The mast once erected, shall undoubtedly create a visual distraction and impair visibility of road users, and its associated cabinets that would clutter and degrade the overall look of the area!

As for the siting, considering the proposed location of the mast is only about 400 meters away from a nursery school, I would question if any risk assessments have been carried out by the Council, with emphasis on health and safety and/or formal Environmental Impact Assessments?
The Council has a duty of care to ensure the safety and well-being of its residents are fully protected at all times, if these assessments haven't been done - why not?

There is evidence that 5G technology will result in significant increases in carbon dioxide (CO_2) being released into the atmosphere, it appears that the Government and Councils are not taking climate change seriously and policies being put in place that are completely contrary to the climate change action plan.

The French Climate Council states that an extra 7 billion tonnes of carbon dioxide could be released into the atmosphere by 5G https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20201220-deploying-5g-will-lead-to-spike-in-co2-emissions-french-climate-council-warns

In terms of sustainability, studies have been carried out that show the huge amount of extra power that will be used by 5G masts. We are all being told to reduce our energy consumption, to "save the planet", and yet each 5G mast is known to consume large quantities of electricity (3 times more than 4G mast) and equivalent to as many as 73 homes! 5G is NOT green, and people are expected to accept the most hypocritical proposals, that are harmful to human health.

Children are more vulnerable to microwave radiation than adults, see for example, Prof Tom Butler, "On the Clear Evidence of the Risks to Children from Non-Ionizing Radio-frequency Radiation" - www.radiationresearch.org/articles/on-the-clear-evidence-of-the-risks-to-children-from-non-ionizing-radio-frequency-radiation-the-case-of-digital-technologies-in-the-home-classroom-and-society/

Overall this mast should be rejected in its entirety.

Kind regards