79 Greenways Crescent
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex
BN43 6HR

28 March 2010

Your ref: ADC/0079/10

Dear Sir

Proposed Development
**2 storey rear extension, 1st floor side extension, including extension of roof and rear dormer, front porch**

Location of Development
**77 Greenways Crescent Shoreham-by-Sea West Sussex BN43 6HR**

I enclose with this letter our comments against the above proposal. I have reproduced your form, which I trust is acceptable.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]

Ray Hillman

From

Name Mr & Mrs R.K.Hillman
Address 79 Greenways Crescent
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex, BN43 6HR

Phone Number [redacted]

**Commenting on application ADC/0079/10**

**TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990**

**TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURE) ORDER 1995**

Proposed development
2 storey rear extension, 1st floor side extension, including extension of roof and rear dormer, front porch

Location of development to which application relates
77 Greenways Crescent Shoreham-by-Sea West Sussex BN43 6HR

Comments

The planning proposal reveals a large and over-development of the existing property. If this work takes place it will dwarf considerably the other houses in the terrace and be somewhat out of place and particularly overbearing at the rear.

As the rear of all the properties in the terrace face north-west, the extension to the rear of 77 Greenways Crescent will severely impact on the amount of natural light to the first floor bathroom next door at 79 Greenways Crescent and to a lesser extent, the bedroom at the rear of the same; light which the property has received without restriction since it was built in the 1930s.

The photographic impression of the rear of the property misrepresents the actual effect that a full-width first floor extension would have on the rear of the adjacent property. Whilst the shadows from the trees to the right show that part of the garden in deep shadow, any shadow cast from the left of the extension on the first floor bathroom and bedroom of the adjoining property (79) would be similar, but is missing in the picture.

In winter months in particular, the effect on light would be drastic.

In a case such as this we strongly believe that the 45 degree rule, regarding our 'right to light', should most definitely be applied.

**Unless you indicate below you will not be able to speak at the Committee meeting:-**

I wish to speak on the application at the Committee meeting – **YES** / **NO** (NO circled)

**To:**
**Development Control**
**Planning, Regeneration & Wellbeing**
**Adur District Council**
**Civic Centre**
**Ham Road**
**Shoreham-by-Sea**
**West Sussex**
**BN43 6PR**

**Signed** [redacted]

**Date** 28 March 2010