Comments for Planning Application NOTICE/0019/20

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 28 December 2020 at 18:04
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 6:04 PM on 28 Dec 2020 from Mrs Susan Hills.

Application Summary

Address: 5 Mill Hill Drive Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5TJ

Proposal: Householder application for permitted development for prior approval for enlargement of a dwellinghouse by construction of an additional storey to a height of 2.833m above the existing ridge height

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Susan Hills

Address: 6 Mill Hill Close, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5TP

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Loss of General Amenity
- Overdevelopment

Comments: The character of Mill Hill Drive is of residential bungalows built in the 1950s of brick and tile. Some of these have had rooms in the roof created with dormer windows or Velux to front and or rear but without any significant increase in ridge height, thus retaining the overall appearance of a broadly consistent roofline along the road. This development will increase the ridge height by nearly 3m compared to the bungalows either side of it. The existing rising ground from pavement to 5 steps leading to the front door will increase the visual impact from the road exaggerating the height difference.
We were unaware of the application for extension and rooms in the roof made earlier in the year. We have no problems with that approval as it reflects the character of the surrounding bungalows but we do now have concerns.
We object to the plans now presented as a "second step" for prior approval and creating what is in appearance a 3-storey white house overbearing in a street consisting mainly of mellow brick bungalows and believe that the project should now be reconsidered as a whole.