From: **Peter Upton**
Date: Mon, 28 Jul 2025 at 16:19
Subject: Re: Planning objection for AWDM/0470/25
To: <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Re Planning Application – AWDM/0470/25
146 Old Shoreham Road, Shoreham-by-Sea BN43 5TE

Dear Hannah,

Many thanks for the email advising of the updated plans regarding the retrospective planning application at 146 Old Shoreham Road.

Hopefully the Planning Department can sense the frustrations that I expressed in my original objection to this build, given that plans showing a much larger build have only been introduced some three months after the **retrospective** planning application. It has been at least eight months since the true size of the build was apparent on the ground.

The new plans are still inaccurate. They still show a plank of cladding above the windows where none exists. More seriously, they do not show the change in roof tile at the front of the property, or the addition of two dividing roof ridges. These are of poor quality, and remain a major concern with regard to the weatherproofing of both the party wall and neighbouring property. Neither does it clarify whether the planking material is adequate or safe. For these reasons, the new plans do not tackle any of the concerns in my original objection dated 7th May 2025.

My objections have been placed into sharper focus by a similar loft conversion that is now taking place on the next terrace block. Although another large box conversion, this build appears to have none of the problems highlighted in my objection. Their dormer is built inside the line of the chimney, and judging by the extra new roof tiles, and position of the waste pipe, it is not pushed up onto the other boundary either. Their front roof tiles have been replaced sensitively using like-for-like tiles that have been blended back into the existing roof structure. Their extension is properly tile hung, and weatherproofing has been visibly applied around the extension as per the requirement of the party wall award.

Sadly, none of these things have occurred with regard to the 146 Old Shoreham Road extension, which is why my objections remain valid.

Yours sincerely

Peter Upton.