Fwd: AWDM/1942/17

Gary Peck <gary.peck@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 9 July 2018 at 14:18
To: Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Emma Whittaker** [redacted]
Date: 9 July 2018 at 14:17
Subject: AWDM/1942/17
To: Gary Peck <gary.peck@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: "james.appleton@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <james.appleton@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Dear Mr Peck,

AWDM/1942/17 Demolition of 20 Firle Road, North Lancing, and erection of 9 two-story houses with 34 parking spaces and new access road in Firle Road

I would like to ask how this application can be recommended for approval by the planning committee at tonight's meeting when:

a) The recommendation was given before a site visit had even been carried out

b) It breaches both local and national planning policy in multiple ways

c) Despite the major scale of the plans, all residents have been ignored throughout the process and treated with complete disregard by Mr Breckell and the developers

d) The access road will wrap around the back of the houses neighbouring number 20 and expose their bedrooms and privacy to passing motorists and users of the site

e) The houses opposite number 20 have already endured vehicles crashing from the gradient of number 20, across the road and straight into the interiors of their homes. This should immediately ring alarm bells and prove that the slope of number 20 is no place to put a road, and would never be the location of sensible choice if there were other options.

f) The top of North Lancing is NOT a sustainable location, with a bus that doesn't even come daily and a steep walk uphill even when only going to the nearest, and very basic, shop. This development will 100% create more car use and more pollution.

g) A vast amount of damage and destruction to unspoilt nature and the environment has been carried out deliberately before the ecology reports were done, and the council should be promoting the preservation of wildlife.

I am not a NIMBY as I live at the other end of Firle Road and mine will be one of the less affected properties, but I ask you without meaning to offend or criticise you, how can this possibly be recommended for approval when considering the above points?

In recommending the approval of this development, you are condoning all of the above with no evidence of any benefits to OUTWEIGH the negatives listed here.

Aside from the countless VALID reasons why this development should be rejected, you are also recommending, therefore, that a lady with dementia (number 18) should have her home made into a street corner with two-way traffic travelling around the sides of her house, and you also find it satisfactory that the residents living opposite number 20, some of whom are elderly and others who are in very poor health, will be made to suffer the trauma of having their homes and indeed themselves exposed to the constant threat of danger at the mouth of the access road, and traffic inappropriately directed towards their front windows.

I implore you and the council to consider these points and reject this inappropriate and poorly planned proposal.

Regards,

Emma Whittaker

(38 Firle Road)

--

Gary Peck
Planning Services Manager, Adur & Worthing Councils
Phone: 01903221406
Email: gary.peck@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Website: http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk
Worthing Town Hall, Chapel Road, Worthing, West Sussex, BN11 1HA

