The Planning Inspectorate

Room 3/N Kite
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Direct Line: 0303 444 5547
Customer Services:
0303 444 5000

Email: East2@pins.gsi.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate

Your Ref: AWDM/1384/17
Our Ref: APP/Y3805/W/17/3190445

Mrs D Johnson
Adur District Council
Planning & Development Services
Department
Civic Centre
Ham Road
Shoreham By Sea
West Sussex
BN4 6PR
18 May 2018

Dear Mrs D Johnson,

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Appeal by Mr Gary Fowler
Site Address: 6 Mill Hill, SHOREHAM-BY-SEA, West Sussex, BN43 5TH

I enclose for your information a copy of the third party correspondence on the above appeal(s).

If you have any comments on the points raised, please respond no later than **31 May, 2018**. You should comment solely on the representations enclosed with this letter.

You cannot introduce new material or put forward arguments that should have been included in your earlier statement. If you do, your comments will not be accepted and will be returned to you.

Comments submitted after the deadline will not be seen by the Inspector unless there are extraordinary circumstances for the late submission.

Yours sincerely,

Judith Birch
Judith Birch

Where applicable, you can use the internet to submit documents, to see information and to check the progress of cases through the Planning Portal. The address of our search page is - www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/appeals/online/search

From: Irene Dyson [redacted]
Sent: 15 May 2018 23:29
To: East2
Subject: FTAO Judith Birch - Comment - REF APP/Y3805/W/17/3190445

REF: APP/Y3805/W/17/3190445
6 Mill Hill, Shoreham by Sea, West Sussex, BN43 5TH

Local AWDM/1384/17

Comment - Objection to amendments of conditions 1/3/4/7

I am of the understanding this application is now in the hands of the Planning Inspectorate due to non-determination by Adur and Worthing District Council. We have again been invited to comment as follows :

As neighbours (No 1/B) living directly opposite (No 6) we wish to object to the proposed amendments to planting bed 1 as shown on drawing 1567-142B. The amendments will again greatly diminish the green area, further compromising the currently permitted green areas to accommodate a further increase to the hard standing /parking area and double garage. The amended reduced planting schedule and reduced planting beds will offer little screening from the austere stark appearance of the house and large parking area.

May it be kept in mind that the original works in 2015 commenced without planning permission. What once was a very large lush green frontage was excavated removing every tree shrub and blade of grass without permission. Had an application been submitted using the correct procedure prior to the works commencing, as neighbours we would have been notified of the intended works from the onset and given the opportunity to comment from the onset. Sadly we were not given this opportunity.

Along with the above comment I would like to point out there is an error of information in the submitted report from Adur & Worthing Council on Page 6 - Condition 4 - last paragraph as follows :

Judging from the letters of representation received, it would seem that the occupiers neighbouring properties do not take issue with the diminished landscaping or indeed type of planting, proposed. Therefore, on balance, the scheme is reluctantly considered acceptable.

This statement is incorrect, as my concerns were originally voiced in 2015 when works first commenced without the necessary permission and have made comment to each of the subsequent applications 2015/2016/2017.

It would be appreciated if this error could be amended before final decisions are made.

To make my point the previous comments were as follows :-

2015 - Application AWDM/1611/15 - Objection to retrospective application due to the stark appearance showing little sympathy for a very green area and felt the Gabion Planters to be inappropriate for the reason they offer little screening from what appears to be a large parking area. We would much prefer to see a

greener frontage. We also mentioned / objected to the trees that had already been felled (although be it too late).

2016 - Application AWDM/0927/16 - Support - we were in support of this application as was greatly improved offering screening from the large parking area and therefore more sympathetic to the surrounding green area.

(Note – At the end of this comment we stated that if works and planting were carried out as submitted drawings we were in support. However we now wish to retract this support/comment as works and plantings have continued but not in accordance with the approved drawings and planting schedule).

2017 - Variation to conditions 1,3,4,7 of AWDM/0927/16. Objection - Reason - Design /Trees and Landscaping. As living opposite No 6 we preferred the already approved application and planting schedule as this offered a larger green area to planting bed 1 which is more in keeping with the green area of Mill Hill

2018 Appeal application APP/Y3805/W/17/3190445. Objection – Reason – Design/ Trees and Landscaping as above and hoping very much past comments will be taken into consideration. As already stated we very much prefer the last approved application as allowed for larger planting areas which in turn offered increased screening to the very large parking area and would alleviate the stark appearance of the building, it would also assist with the water run off.

I note from the report that Condition 7 drainage /surface water is of concern but is it not also equally of concern to preserve as much of a green area as possible.

Kind Regards

Irene Dyson

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com