Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1738/15

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 24 January 2016 at 21:14
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:14 PM on 24 Jan 2016 from Mr Peter ELLIOTT.

Application Summary

Address: 48 Downside Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 6HE

Proposal: Room in roof with hip to gable and rear dormer

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Peter ELLIOTT

Email: [redacted]

Address: 46 Downside, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 6HE

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Other
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: To whom it may concern, Ref: planning application AWDM/1738/15 I write in relation to application AWDM/1738/15 and the proposed plans to add a room in the roof with hip to gable and rear dormer of 48 Downside, Shoreham. My wife and I object to the current planning proposal as the proposed planning application will significantly reduce the light to our bathroom and kitchen which have windows which look from the West of our property and onto the East of 48 Downside, Shoreham. The extended roofline will prevent the sun and light from reaching our property and cause overshadowing. This will affect the temperatures in the kitchen and bathroom and create shadowing over the West side of our property. The reduced light will impact on the amount of electricity we use and cause us to use more as the light to the bathroom and kitchen will be severely reduced if this application is granted. We are concerned about the disturbance during the building work. We are in our late 70s and early 80s and are concerned about the noise and the disturbance from the builders/contractors as well as the physical mess of building work. Between 48 Downside and ourselves is a shared driveway which we both have garages at the top of. I am extremely concerned about the use of scaffolding and delivery

of building materials which are likely to block the shared driveway and our access for our vehicle to the garage. If scaffolding is used on the shared driveway we will not be able to move our vehicle in and out of our garage. If the plans were reversed and the hip to gable and dormer were to the front of the property this would be much less impactive on our bathroom and kitchen although would still cause noise and disturbance especially with the shared driveway. I would request that a planning officer visits the site and sees how much our property will be affected prior to the application being considered. I am happy to speak to planning officers about our concerns. Yours sincerely Mr and Mrs Elliott