Gmail

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Fwd: AWDM/1233/24 Further comment

1 message

Amanda Medhurst [redacted] 8 November 2024 at 17:35
To: "Planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <Planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>, [redacted]

Could You kindly confirm receipt of these further comments

Dear Adur Planning,

In respect of the further comments by the applicant we wish to make these further comments.

Discussion with neighbours. Regarding this application there has been no specific discussion with us on this application before it was submitted. (As claimed in the applicant's further comments.) If that had taken place he would certainly have learned of our objections to the front facing dormer, its colour and the weatherboarding material finishes. We would also have queried the built up land to the rear we had observed being carried out. We were only alerted by the council's invitation letter to comment.

Drainage. It is concerning that the applicant is refuting the need for a Flood Risk Assessment as requested by the authority's drainage consultant. Clearly, this is advice from a professional who has quoted the planning requirements for such proposals where the site is within an area which the Environment Agency rates as having a high risk of flooding.

For all the reasons we previously submitted, particularly with the substantial rear garden relevelling, we are greatly concerned at the worsening of flooded gardens in the area which our back garden also experiences when ground water levels rise during the heavy wet winter months. Surely, this change in levels should also be included in that flood risk assessment with the applicant's civil engineer report to confirm its sustainability.

In the interests of the wellbeing of all the residents, something simply must be done to restore the land at the rear to the original level and to both eliminate the drainage concerns and severe loss of privacy to neighbouring properties..

Front Dormer. Comparing Manor Way to other roads in the area where there are front dormers makes little sense. There are none that have been permitted in Manor Way. Likewise, the comparison of the rear dormer on the back facing elevation of our adjoining neighbour at No1. It has absolutely no impact on the road and has no visual impact even on the back gardens adjacent with its natural earth colour green.

As indicated in those further notes, the case officer for the withdrawn application would not permit the front dormer because it was almost certainly out of character with the road.

We have to ask why the applicant still persists in including it when it has already been turned down? That prior refusal must surely set the decision for its refusal once again.

As for colour of weatherboarding for the two dormers and hip to gable roof, as we suspected the intention is for it to be black. In our previous objection we clearly gave our strong objection to that colour which is insensitive to the look of the road with its predominantly natural colour tile hung roofs with no road facing dormers.

We can only reconfirm our strong objections to this application as submitted and request that it is refused by the authority.

Your faithfully,

Mr G & Mrs A Medhurst

3 Manor Way

Lancing

[redacted]

[redacted]