

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/01/2026 7:31 PM from Mrs Patricia Westlake.

Application Summary

Address:	1A Mill Hill Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 5TG
Proposal:	Single storey rear extension with vaulted ceiling. Conversion of existing garage. Solar panels to South roof slope. Alterations to garden level at rear.
Case Officer:	Peter Barnett

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Patricia Westlake
Email:	
Address:	3 Mill Hill Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	We wish to object to this planning application as we are extremely concerned that this property is being termed as a two bedroom house for parking re WSCC response and viewed as such and it is one of the larger houses in the street. We are very concerned about the amount of noise the plant room with two sets of double doors on the north boundary facing our property will generate and also if this will be used as a changing room at some point or for any other usage causing more noise and loss of amenity. We strongly object to the doors which are in direct eye-line and site of our bedroom windows causing loss of privacy and the noise would negatively affect and impact us and our property. The plans also show a very overbearing extension on the north elevation which is practically the length of the gardens with a vaulted ceiling which shows no finish to the roof area e.g glass,tiles etc. which we feel would negatively impact on us. We are concerned about the height and length of the pool house as the finish on the roof of the main house has had the tiles replaced with what looks like material for a shed roof. It says on the application that there are no trees within falling distance of the pool

house but we do not think this is correct.

Also the previous planning AWDM/0059/21 to the terrace balcony stipulated a 1.7m obscure glass panel be erected before use but this has not been adhered to.

We also feel this is overdevelopment so we therefore object and hope that you consider our concerns and reject this planning application due to noise privacy and loss of amenity.
