From: Keith Morgan
Sent: 02 June 2010 11:02
To: 'Jamie.Brown@westsussex.gov.uk'
Subject: ADC/0177/10 Land adj 2 Brighton Rd, Lancing: Renewal of temp perm for car park

Jamie,

Thanks for yr comments of 24 May 2010.

We have received a few adverse comments from local residents that refer to highway matters as follows, not that they appear serious enough to affect yr recommendation:-

Third Parties: 3 letters have been received from residents at Flats 6, 23 & 40 Milford Court, as follows:-

- The resident of flat 6 states this car park was only permitted temporarily during building work at the Drumconner Home; it is clearly not appropriate as a permanent car park as, apart from being an eyesore, it results in casual use by the public and hazards to pedestrians, cyclists and road users. Children have been seen waiting on the road to avoid cars leaving the site.
- The resident of flat 23 states enforcement action should be taken to secure the removal of the car park and restoration of the beach upon expiry of the present permission on 31 May, as building work appears to have finished at Drumconner. Fumes and noise are disturbing to beach users and screeching tyres have been heard from traffic. The requirements of the business should not over-ride public interests in this residential area.
- The resident of flat 40 questions how much longer the site will be used as a car park, commenting that perhaps the applicant is hoping to make it permanent. Although preferable to a building being erected on the site, it could remain for the 3 years the applicant originally sought.

So far as the need for the temporary car park is concerned, the applicant now states that once present work is completed, building work will start on the more recent permission ADC/0460/09 for first-floor extensions.

Also, you may recall that a condition was previously imposed including a requirement upon expiration of the permission not only to restore the shingle beach but to replace the existing dropped kerb with a raised kerb and footway. I did query this with you at the time of the previous application but you felt the condition was justified as there was no record of any license for the crossover.
The applicant now states, however, that this crossover has existed for over 30 years, having been used by the previous occupier of the dwelling to the east to gain vehicular access to the site. He requests that any further permission has no such condition as it appears unenforceable (as action can only be taken within 4 years of the breach). Please can you let me have yr comments.

Regards

Keith

Keith Morgan - Planning Services Manager | Planning, Regeneration & Wellbeing, Adur District Council
Location: Civic Centre, Ham Road, Shoreham-by-Sea BN43 6PR
Internal: 63239 | External: 01273 263239 | E-mail: keith.morgan@adur.gov.uk

Please think before you print this and save paper