From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 15 February 2022 13:22
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0068/22

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/02/2022 1:22 PM from Mr Sidney Strachan.

Application Summary

Address:	Land East Of 69 Highdown Southwick West Sussex
Proposal:	Proposed 2no. three bedroom houses attached to the east of no. 69 with rear dormers and rear balconies at first floor. Minor fenestration changes to No. 69. (Part retrospective)
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Sidney Strachan
Address:	1 Highdown Close, Southwick, West Sussex BN42 4QW

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Other - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	I am writing as a home owner in Highdown Close, Southwick to object to the new proposed planning application for the Land East of 69 Highdown, Southwick. I am objecting to the application for the following reasons: 1. Design - The proposed dwellings are not of a design which is in keeping with the scale, character or appearance of the area. All other dwellings in Highdown or in Highdown Close, of which the property is now vastly overlooking and overshadowing, don't have dormers or rear balconies. This deviates from the original planning application that was submitted and

subsequently passed.
2. Privacy - The proposed dwellings have two windows each that give clear visibility straight into my home and my garden which now gives me no privacy at all. The third room dormers give a birds eye view not just directly into my property but of the whole surrounding area.
3. Trees. The building works have ruined the natural vegetation of a tree belt that is situated at the back of the property and trees have been felled during the course of the building works which permission wasn't granted for.
4. Traffic. Two extra two bedroom properties would make the parking and traffic situation even more difficult but adding in another bedroom to each of the properties could potentially cause more parking congestion in an already dangerous road with subsequent inadequate parking. I can see from the original application that driveways will be installed to said properties but that will also be taking up more of the parking. At times, it is extremely dangerous when exiting our small close because of residents dangerously parking on corners or obstructing the view from cars trying to exit the close.
5. When I submitted plans to extend my kitchen, I was denied on the basis that my backdoor would be overlooking a set of garages and I therefore had to amend the position of my backdoor. Even though the position of the backdoor was already in the same place we were just bringing it closer to the garages. I don't understand how my plans were objected because the door was overlooking garages whereas, these extra rooms give clear visibility into my property.

I believe that the new rooms in the roof encroach on my privacy but they are also completely out of character for the rest of the properties that all have a similar look and characteristics. I also feel that the callous way the owner has just tried to sneak these changes through in such an underhand manner that I feel it isn't really very neighbourly. In the Close that I live in we all have extended our houses but have all had to keep to the same similar style of the rest.

Kind regards