Tracey Consterdine

WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION
RECEIVED 25 MAY 2011
On Comp. | In Report (D/C)
Addm. | Post Addm.

From: Antony Martinez [redacted]
Sent: 25 May 2011 11:31
To: Planning; developmentcontrol
Subject: RE: 11/0259/FULL | Proposed erection of 1 new bungalow | Land At Rear Of 30 Rugby Road Worthing West Sussex

Attachments: comments on 11_0259_FULL.doc

Hi,

We are writing to you to share our objections to the proposal '**11/0259/FULL | Proposed erection of 1 new bungalow | Land At Rear Of 30 Rugby Road Worthing West Sussex**'.

Please see Word document '**comments on 11_0259_FULL.doc**' attached. Also I hope that it is OK that we have emailed our objections through.

Many thanks...
Mr and Mrs Martinez

WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION

RECEIVED 25 MAY 2011

On Comp.	In Report (D/C)
Addm.	Post Addm.

32 Rugby Road
Worthing
BN11 5LS
23rd May 2011

Dear Mr Appleton

RE: Proposed erection of 1 new bungalow – Reference: 11/0259/FULL

We are writing to you to share our objections to the above proposal on the following grounds:

1. There would be considerable noise during any building work, not helped by the restrictive access available to the development site. The long term noise level would also be increased if this property were to be built.
2. The dormer windows to the west and front elevation of the new bungalow would severely overlook our property, diminishing the amount of privacy we currently have.
3. The existing garages currently make up part of our boundary wall; if this was to be removed for even a short period of time this would also result in loss to our privacy and security. We would also be concerned about what would be used to replace this wall.
4. Even though the plans for the new development do show a parking space for the occupants, most families have at least two vehicles. This could impact on the space available in our road for all of the residents, in particular those who are not fortunate to have a driveway.
5. As the new bungalow would be more than twice the height of the existing garage, we would suffer loss of light to the lower section of our garden.
6. The access to the existing dwelling is already limited; a new property would restrict access further should an emergency vehicle need to get close by.

We hope this to be in order,

Yours sincerely

Mr and Mrs Martinez