

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/07/2026 4:11 PM from Miss Bernadette Griffin.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South East Corner Of Amberley Drive Marine Drive Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Commercial use of regenerated land, including use as a community green space for recreational and leisure use. Retention of land for biodiversity use. Bore hole for extraction of natural water. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers), 2 x 6m flag poles, provision of food and drink from temporary structures, mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance. Bin storage use. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Miss Bernadette Griffin
Email:	
Address:	22 SEA LANE GARDENS FERRING WORTHING

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	I have read the various objections submitted and completely concur with the matters set out by others who have responded to object to this application. The various points raised by objectors rightly include the following concerns:

- the adverse impact on the character and appearance of the site, specifically in terms of its proximity to the unspoilt setting we currently enjoy along this part of the coast;
- the loss of a peaceful place for visitors, dog walkers etc;
- the environmental impact and the need to protect and enhance biodiversity;
- highway safety and traffic congestion; and
- the very real probability of disturbance and/or anti-social behaviour.

The site forms part of the green gap between Goring and Ferring, the importance of which was referenced in the 2025 planning decision relating to Chatsworth Farm. Although other considerations applied with regard to that matter, there are relevant points that I believe apply in the context of the current application. For example, the inspector in the Chatsworth Farm matter gave considerable weight to the need 'to conserve and enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area.' I take the view that this should equally apply to the green gap south of Chatsworth Farm (which, of course, includes Mr Dixon's site).

Mr Dixon's proposals would significantly blight the existing green gap and are completely inconsistent with that designation. The proposals would harm the green gap's peaceful and unspoilt character and denude its natural beauty.

I'm sure there are many families looking for a different seaside experience to that offered along the Goring/Ferring coast. However, in response to Mr Dixon's application to, amongst other things, provide community green space for recreational and leisure use, surely those day trippers looking for a donkey ride or a sandpit to park their kids are more likely to aim for more family friendly locations. It is simply disproportionate to give more weight to the purported "needs" of such day trippers as opposed to those who reside locally or wish to visit and enjoy our unspoilt Goring and Ferring coast.

Along with other objectors, I would urge the local authority to refuse the application for all the relevant reasons, considerations and challenges articulated by the very many people who clearly feel as strongly as I do about Mr Dixon's application.

Kind regards