



degadea
water, civils and environment

Flood Risk Assessment, Surface
Water Drainage Strategy and Foul
Water Drainage Strategy
AEG5636_BN15_Lancing_07

Site Address: Queens Parade
North Road
Lancing
BN15 9RA

UK Experts in Flood Modelling, Flood Risk
Assessments, and Surface Water Drainage Strategies

degadea
water, civils and environment

Document Issue Record

Project: Flood Risk Assessment, Surface Water Drainage Strategy and Foul Water Drainage Strategy

Prepared for: Farcastle Limited

Reference: AEG5636_BN15_Lancing_07

Site Location: Queens Parade, North Road, Lancing, BN15 9BA

Issue	Date	Author	Check	Auth.	Comments
1	10/12/2024	Oliver Harvey/ Jack Allen	CH	DC	First issue
2	17/01/2025	Oliver Harvey/ Jack Allen	CH	DC	Second Issue
3	30/04/2025	Oliver Harvey/ Jack Allen	-		Third Issue
4	06/03/2026	Oliver Harvey/ Jack Allen	-		Fourth Issue – see below

Fourth Issue

Following discussions with Southern Water, discharge to the existing foul water sewer has been agreed in principle, subject to surface water runoff rates being minimised to provide a significant betterment over the existing situation (Please see Appendix G).

As such, the proposed runoff rate has been reduced further. The previous strategy proposed a discharge rate of 2.0l/s representing a 97.77% betterment to the existing runoff rates for the 1 in 100 year storm event (plus a 45% climate change allowance). However, this report, calculations and drawing have been amended to reduce runoff rates further to 1.0l/s to represent a betterment of 99% compared to the existing scenario.

Please Note:

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from Aegaea Limited. All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care, and diligence. No liability is accepted by Aegaea Limited for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced. Where reference has been made to probability events, or risk probability, it does not ensure that there is no risk or that there is no

residual risk from an extreme, unlikely or unforeseen flood event over the lifetime of the development.

Table of Contents

Summary	1
1. Introduction.....	3
Site Overview.....	3
Planning Policy and Guidance.....	5
2. Planning Policy.....	6
National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	6
National Standards for SuDS	8
Local Plan.....	9
Sequential and Exception Tests	10
3. Consultation and Review.....	13
Documents and Online Mapping.....	13
4. Sources of Flood Risk.....	15
Fluvial and Tidal	15
Canals	16
Pluvial	17
Reservoirs.....	25
Groundwater.....	26
Sewers.....	27
5. Flood Risk Mitigation	28
All Sources	28
Increase to Flood Risk Elsewhere.....	28
Flood Warnings	28
6. Surface Water Drainage Strategy.....	29
Nearby Watercourses.....	29
Ground Conditions	29
Existing Drainage Infrastructure.....	29

Greenfield Runoff Rate	31
Brownfield Runoff Rate	31
Surface Water Drainage Strategy.....	32
InfoDrainage Modelling.....	35
InfoDrainage SuDS Modelling Results.....	36
Surface Water Drainage Arrangement.....	36
Water Quality	37
Maintenance	37
Designing for Exceedance.....	38
7. Foul Water Drainage Strategy.....	39
Design Considerations.....	39
8. Conclusions.....	40
Appendix A - Development Proposals.....	42
Appendix B - Utilities Survey	43
Appendix C - Southern Water Asset Plan	44
Appendix D - Brownfield Calculations.....	45
Appendix E - Surface and Foul Water Drainage Strategy	46
Appendix F - Proposed Network Calculations	47
Appendix G – Southern Water Correspondence.....	48

Summary

Development Description	Existing	Proposed
Development Type	Commercial use – parade of shops	A full planning application for the proposed upwards extension to provide up to 21no. additional flats (Class C3) plus aesthetic improvements to the existing parade of shops.
EA Vulnerability Classification	Less Vulnerable	Less and More Vulnerable
Ground Floor Level	The topographic levels along the northern site boundary are circa. 7.50m AOD and levels along the southern site boundary are circa. 6.50m AOD.	No change
Level of Sleeping Accommodation	N/A	First and second floors
Surface Water Drainage	N/A ¹	See Section 6.
Risk to Development	Summary	Comment
EA Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Based on the information the site is considered to be at a low risk from all analysed sources.
Flood Source	N/A	
SFRA Available	Adur and Worthing Level 1 and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Adur District Council, 2020)	
Management Measures	Summary	Comment
Ground floor level above extreme flood levels	Yes	Based on the information the site is considered to be at a low risk from all analysed sources.
Safe Access/Egress Route	Yes	Based on the information the site is considered to be at a low risk from all analysed sources. Therefore, access/ egress will be available.

Flood Resilient Design	No	Based on the information the site is considered to be at a low risk from all analysed sources. Therefore, no mitigation measures have been recommended.
Site Drainage Plan	Yes	See Section 6
Flood Warning and Evacuation Plan	N/A	Recommended that occupiers monitor Met Office Weather Warnings for extreme weather events.
Offsite Impacts	Summary	Comment
Displacement of floodwater	No	Based on the information the site is considered to be at a low risk from all analysed sources.
Increase in surface run-off generation	No	See Section 6
Impact on hydraulic performance of channels	No	No watercourses in the immediate vicinity of the site.

¹ not required for this assessment

² data not available.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Aegaea were commissioned by Farcastle Limited to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Surface Water Drainage Strategy (SWDS) and Foul Water Drainage Strategy (FWDS) to facilitate a planning application for the proposed development. This FRA and SWDS has been prepared in accordance with the requirements set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the associated Planning Practice Guidance.
- 1.2. This report is intended to support a planning application and as such the level of detail included is commensurate and subject to the nature of the proposals.

Site Overview

- 1.3. The site of the proposed development is Queens Parade, North Road, Lancing, BN15 9BA (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Site Location (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors)

- 1.4. The site is currently a parade of shops, the development is for the proposed upwards extension to provide up to 21no. additional flats (Class C3) plus aesthetic improvements to the existing parade of shops. The development proposals will be included in this report as Appendix A.
- 1.5. In the absence of a topographical survey, Environment Agency Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data Digital Terrain Model has been used to review the topography of the site. Analysis of 1m LiDAR shows that the northern half of the site is generally higher than the southern parts of the site. The elevations along the northern site boundary are circa. 7.50m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) and levels along the southern site boundary are circa. 6.50m AOD (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Site Topography (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 1.6. Adur District Council is the Local Planning Authority (LPA) for the site and West Sussex County Council is the designated Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA). The site sits within the Environment Agency's Solent and South Downs region.

Planning Policy and Guidance

1.7. UK government planning guidance states¹ that an FRA is required for developments which are:

- *in flood zone 2 or 3 including minor development and change of use*
- *more than 1 hectare (ha) in flood zone 1*
- *less than 1 ha in flood zone 1, including a change of use in development type to a more vulnerable class (for example from commercial to residential), where they could be affected by sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea (for example surface water drains, reservoirs)*
- *in an area within flood zone 1 which has critical drainage problems as notified by the Environment Agency*

1.8. The objective of this FRA/SWDS is to demonstrate that the proposals are acceptable in terms of flood risk. This report summarises the findings of the study and specifically addresses the following issues in the context of the current legislative regime:

- Fluvial/tidal flood risk
- Surface water flood risk
- Risk of flooding from other sources

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications#when-you-need-an-assessment>

2. Planning Policy

- 2.1. Inappropriate development in a flood risk area could pose significant risk in terms of personal safety and damage to property for the occupiers of the development or for people elsewhere. The approach taken in the assessment of flood risk at the planning stage is set out in national, regional, and local planning policy and associated guidance. This section summarises the key policies and guidance relevant to the proposed development.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

- 2.2. The National Planning Policy Framework² (NPPF) (MHCLG, 2024) which includes UK Government policy on development and flood risk states:

170. Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

181. When determining any planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere. Where appropriate, applications should be supported by a site-specific flood-risk assessment. Development should only be allowed in areas at risk of flooding where, in the light of this assessment (and the sequential and exception tests, as applicable) it can be demonstrated that:

- a) within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk, unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location;*
- b) the development is appropriately flood resistant and resilient such that, in the event of a flood, it could be quickly brought back into use without significant refurbishment;*
- c) it incorporates sustainable drainage systems, unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate;*

²<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework>, last updated Dec 2024

- d) any residual risk can be safely managed; and
- e) safe access and escape routes are included where appropriate, as part of an agreed emergency plan.

176. Applications for some minor development and changes of use should also not be subject to the sequential test, nor the exception test [set out below], but should still meet the requirements for site-specific flood risk assessments set out in footnote 63.

2.3. Footnote 63 of the NPPF states:

A site-specific flood risk assessment should be provided for all development in Flood Zones 2 and 3. In Flood Zone 1, an assessment should accompany all proposals involving: sites of 1 hectare or more; land which has been identified by the Environment Agency as having critical drainage problems; land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future; or land that may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use.

2.4. Flood Zones in England are defined as follows:

Table 1: Flood Zone Definitions

Flood Zone	Definition
Zone 1 Low Probability	Land having less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding (all land outside Zones 2 and 3).
Zone 2 Medium Probability	Land having between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding; or land having between a 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of sea flooding.
Zone 3a High Probability	Land having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding; or Land having a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of sea flooding.
Zone 3b The Functional Floodplain	This zone comprises land where water from rivers or the sea has to flow or be stored in times of flood. The identification of functional floodplain should take account of local circumstances and not be defined solely on rigid probability parameters. Functional floodplain will normally comprise:

land having a 3.3% or greater annual probability of flooding, with any existing flood risk management infrastructure operating effectively; or

land that is designed to flood (such as a flood attenuation scheme), even if it would only flood in more extreme events (such as 0.1% annual probability of flooding).

Local planning authorities should identify in their Strategic Flood Risk Assessments areas of functional floodplain and its boundaries accordingly, in agreement with the Environment Agency. (Not separately distinguished from Zone 3a on the Flood Map)

- 2.5. An FRA should be appropriate to the scale, nature, and location of the development. It should identify and assess the risk from all sources of flooding to and from the development and demonstrate how any flood risks will be managed over the lifetime of the development.
- 2.6. An assessment of hydrological impacts should be undertaken, including to surface water runoff and impacts to drainage networks in order to demonstrate how flood risk to others will be managed following development and taking climate change into account.

National Standards for SuDS

- 2.7. Updates to the national planning policy have defined a framework for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). The new 'National Standards for SuDS'³ was published on June 19th, 2025, and is used to inform this drainage strategy. There are seven key standards are as follows;
- **Standard 1 Runoff Destination:** The drainage hierarchy now prioritises water re-use followed by infiltration, before discharging to watercourses and sewers.
 - **Standard 2 Management of Everyday Rainfall (interception):** The design must ensure that the first 5mm of rainfall from any event is managed within the site and does not leave the boundary.
 - **Standard 3 Management of Extreme Rainfall and Flooding:** The SuDS must be designed to manage runoff from all extreme rainfall events, up to and including the 1 in 100-year return period (including an allowance for climate change).

³ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-standards-for-sustainable-drainage-systems/national-standards-for-sustainable-drainage-systems-suds>

- **Standard 4 Water Quality:** The system must be designed to prevent or mitigate the risk of surface water runoff pollution using SuDS management trains.
- **Standard 5 Amenity:** The SuDS should be designed to improve the aesthetic value of the development, demonstrating multifunctional solutions where feasible.
- **Standard 6 Biodiversity:** The system should be designed to promote biodiversity using above ground SuDS where feasible.
- **Standard 7 Maintenance:** A clear plan must be provided for the long-term operation and maintenance of the SuDS to ensure it remains effective for the lifetime of the development.

Local Plan

- 2.8. The Local Plan prepared by the Local Planning Authority, Adur District Council, sets out the policies for development in the local area.
- 2.9. Policy 36.1 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage: Flood Risk outlines the requirements for new development within the area. It states:

The Council will work with relevant bodies to ensure that flood risk in Adur is reduced. A site specific flood risk assessment must be submitted with planning applications for:

- *Proposals of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1*
- *All development or changes of use to a more vulnerable use in Flood Zones 2 and 3*
- *All development (excluding 'minor development' as defined in paragraph 046 of the National Planning Policy Framework Planning Practice Guidance) or changes of use to a more vulnerable use, regardless of flood zone or size, where flood risk from other sources (surface water, sewer, groundwater) is identified by the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.*

The flood risk assessment will need to demonstrate that development:

- *is appropriately flood resilient and resistant, includes safe access and escape routes where required, and that any residual risk can be safely managed;*
- *will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users;*

- will not increase flood risk (including sewer flooding, surface water and groundwater flood risk) elsewhere; - will, where possible, reduce flood risk overall; and

- will give priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems. The flood risk assessment will also need to demonstrate that, where possible, higher vulnerability uses have been located on parts of the site at the lowest probability of flooding.

- 2.10. Policy 36.2 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage: Sustainable Drainage outlines the requirements for new development within the area. It states:

New development within Adur must include some form of Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS) or other appropriate design measures in order to reduce the risks of surface water flooding and to mitigate the risk of pollution to groundwater sources. SuDS should be considered before other forms of disposal.

Substantial storage through SuDS will be required to achieve a reduction in runoff to levels below that experienced prior to development. On relevant sites, storage of runoff during the high part of the tidal cycle should be addressed. SuDS must be designed sensitively and must seek to enhance landscapes, increase biodiversity gains, and provide quality spaces.

For all developments, applicants will be required to demonstrate that acceptable management arrangements are in place and funded to ensure the ongoing maintenance of SuDS into the future. Where it is not practical to provide SuDS on site, the development of strategic level SuDS may be considered appropriate. In these circumstances, contributions may be required through s106 undertakings/ CIL.

Sequential and Exception Tests

- 2.11. The Sequential and Exception Tests are applied in specific cases defined by UK Government policy. Their purpose is to drive development to areas of low flood risk and to support developments which improve flood risk for developments in areas at risk of flooding.

Sequential Test

- 2.12. Paragraph 023 of the NPPG states that;

The sequential approach is designed to ensure that areas at little or no risk of flooding from any source are developed in preference to areas at higher risk. This

means avoiding, so far as possible, development in current and future medium and high flood risk areas considering all sources of flooding including areas at risk of surface water flooding. Avoiding flood risk through the sequential test is the most effective way of addressing flood risk because it places the least reliance on measures like flood defences, flood warnings and property level resilience features. Application of the sequential approach in the plan-making and decision-making process will help to ensure that development is steered to the lowest risk areas, where it is compatible with sustainable development objectives to do so.'

- 2.13. The development is for the proposed upwards extension to provide up to 21no. additional flats (Class C3) plus aesthetic improvements to the existing parade of shops, wholly located within Flood Zone 1.
- 2.14. Therefore, the sequential approach has been adopted on site by locating the structure in a lowest risk area.

Exception Test

- 2.15. The Exception Test is applied to sites based on the Flood Zone and the nature of the development. As the proposed development consists of residential uses it would be classed as 'More Vulnerable' in line with government development use classes.
- 2.16. The Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification table⁴ provided below in Table 2 shows which vulnerabilities are appropriate in each Flood Zone.
- 2.17. The proposed development sits within Flood Zone 1 and the proposals consist of 'More Vulnerable' uses. Table 2 shows Flood Zone 1 is an appropriate location for 'More Vulnerable' uses without the need for an Exception Test.

Table 2: Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification

⁴ <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#table2>

Flood Zones	Flood Risk Vulnerability Classification				
	Essential Infrastructure	Highly Vulnerable	More Vulnerable	Less Vulnerable	Water Compatible
Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Zone 2	✓	Exception Test required	✓	✓	✓
Zone 3a	Exception Test required	x	Exception Test required	✓	✓
Zone 3b	Exception Test required	x	x	x	✓

3. Consultation and Review

Documents and Online Mapping

- 3.1. Local Governments and Lead Local Flood Authorities provide documents which contain data and policies on flood risk and new development in their areas. These documents are introduced and briefly summarised below. For the purposes of this FRA, these documents have been reviewed for relevant information and any relevant data is discussed within the appropriate sub heading of this report.
- 3.2. The following sources of information have been reviewed for this assessment:
- Flood Map for Planning on the Environment Agency website <https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/>
 - Long Term Flood Risk Information on the Environment Agency website <https://www.gov.uk/check-long-term-flood-risk>
 - National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2024)
 - Planning Practice Guidance - Flood Risk and Coastal Change (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2022)
 - Geoindex Onshore (British Geological Survey, 2023)
 - Adur Local Plan 2017 (Adur District Council, 2014)⁵
 - West Sussex Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (West Sussex County Council, 2011)⁶
 - Adur and Worthing Level 1 (Adur District Council, 2024)⁷ and Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Adur District Council, 2020)⁸

⁵ <https://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/adur-local-plan/>

⁶ https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/media/1626/west_sussex_pfra.pdf

⁷ <https://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/planning-policy/joint-aw/adur-and-worthing-background-studies-and-info/flood-risk/#sfra-2024>

⁸ <https://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/planning-policy/joint-aw/adur-and-worthing-background-studies-and-info/flood-risk/>

- West Sussex Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (2013-2018) (West Sussex County Council, 2014)⁹

Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA)

- 3.3. The PFRA, published in 2011 and 2017, is a high-level appraisal of flood risk across Lead Local Flood Authority West Sussex County Council. The flood risk from all sources, including fluvial, surface water, groundwater, and surcharged sewers is evaluated. It is the basis upon which the Local Flood Risk Management Strategy is produced.
- 3.4. The PFRA summarises historical flood incidents in West Sussex County Council. The site is not recorded as having been affected by any flood event.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)

- 3.5. The SFRA, published in 2020, provides the evidence base for the Local Planning Authority Adur District Council Local Plan and guidance for consideration when determining planning applications. The SFRA seeks to place new development into areas of lower flood risk taking into account current flood risk, future flood risk, and the effect a proposed development would have on the risk of flooding.
- 3.6. The SFRA mapping provided by Adur District Council has been used throughout production of this report as a source of information, particularly pertaining to historical flood incidents.

Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS)

- 3.7. The Local Flood Risk Management Strategy sets out roles and responsibilities for flood risk management, assesses the risk of flooding in the area, where funding can be found to manage flood risk, and the policies, objectives, and actions of the Lead Local Flood Authority.
- 3.8. The West Sussex County Council LFRMS is used within this report to identify any flood management infrastructure and historical incidences of flooding.

⁹ https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/media/1595/local_flood_risk_management_strategy.pdf

4. Sources of Flood Risk

Fluvial and Tidal

- 4.1. Flooding from watercourses arises when flows exceed the capacity of the channel, or where a restrictive structure is encountered, resulting in water overtopping the banks into the floodplain.
- 4.2. Tidal flooding occurs when a high tide and high winds combine to elevate sea levels. An area behind coastal flood defences can still flood if waves overtop the defences or break through them. Tidal flooding can also occur a long way from the coast by raising river levels. Water may overtop the river bank or river defences when tide levels are high.
- 4.3. The site is located wholly within Flood Zone 1 (Figure 3) (updated in March 2025). Areas in Flood Zone 1 are defined as having less than 1in1000 (0.1%) risk of flooding from fluvial or tidal sources annually.




Figure 3: EA Flood Map for Planning (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.4. Neither the EA Historic Flood Map (Figure 4) nor the SFRA provided any records to indicate that the site has been previously flooded from fluvial sources.




Figure 4: EA Historic Flood Mapping (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.5. Given the site is located within Flood Zone 1, the risk of fluvial/tidal flooding is considered to be low.

Canals

- 4.6. The Canal and River Trust (CRT) generally maintains canal levels using reservoirs, feeders, and boreholes and manages water levels by transferring it within the canal system.
- 4.7. There are no Canal and River Trust (CRT) canals within 1km of the site as per the CRT network map.
- 4.8. Therefore, the risk of flooding to this site from canals is considered to be low.

Pluvial

- 4.9. Pluvial flooding can occur during prolonged or intense storm events when the infiltration potential of soils, or the capacity of drainage infrastructure is overwhelmed leading to the accumulation of surface water and the generation of overland flow routes.
- 4.10. The new National Flood Risk Assessment (NaFRA2), published in Jan 2025, has updated the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) products which show the chance of flooding from surface water to areas of land.
- 4.11. The RoFSW products are an assessment of where surface water flooding may occur when rainwater does not drain away through the normal drainage systems or soak into the ground but lies on or flows over the ground instead. It includes information about flooding extents and depths including the potential impact of climate change on flood risk, based on the latest UK Climate Projections (UKCP18).
- 4.12. Risk is displayed as one of three likelihood categories:
- 'High Risk'; >3.3% AEP (annual probability greater than 1 in 30).
 - 'Medium Risk'; 1.1% to 3.3% AEP (annual probability between 1 in 100 and 1 in 30).
 - 'Low Risk'; 0.1% to 1% AEP (annual probability between 1 in 1000 and 1 in 100).
- 4.13. The new RoFSW depth mapping shows the annual chance of flooding (based on the three risk categories listed above) beyond a specific depth, for depths at the following intervals from 20cm to 120cm (i.e. 0.2m, 0.3m, 0.6m, 0.9m, and 1.20m).
- 4.14. As well as present day risk of flooding from surface water, climate change scenarios have been produced to indicate the predicted impacts of climate change on future flood risk. The climate change allowances are based on the latest UK Climate Projections (UKCP18) from the Met Office, using the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5. A near-term epoch (2040 – 2060 “2050s” epoch) and central allowances are being used initially, to support short and medium-term decisions informed by the highest flood likelihood projections.

RoFSW Present Day Scenario

- 4.15. The EA Online 'Flood Risk from Surface Water' map (Jan 2025) indicates that the site is at a low, medium, and high risk of surface water flooding (Figure 5).




Figure 5: EA Surface Water Flood Risk Mapping (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

Present Day Scenario Depth Mapping

- 4.16. The present-day scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.2m shows that the majority of the site is not at risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.2m (Figure 6). However, to the south of the site there are areas at a low, medium and high risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.2m.




Figure 6: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.2m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.17. The present-day scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.3m shows that the majority of the site is not at risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.3m (Figure 7). However, to the south of the site there are areas at a low and medium risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.3m.




Figure 7: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.3m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.18. The present-day scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.6m shows that the site located outside of all modelled flood extents (Figure 8).




Figure 8: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.6m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

RoFSW Climate Change Scenario

- 4.19. The 'Flood Risk from Surface Water – Climate Change' map (Jan 2025) indicates that the site is at a low, medium and high risk of surface water flooding (Figure 9).




Figure 9: EA Surface Water Flood Risk with Climate Change Mapping. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

Climate Change Scenario Depth Mapping

- 4.20. The climate change scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.2m shows that the majority of the site is not at risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.2m (Figure 10). However, to the south of the site there are areas at a low, medium and high risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.2m.




Figure 10: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.2m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.21. The climate change scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.3m shows that the majority of the site is not at risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.3m (Figure 11). However, to the south of the site there are areas at a low and medium risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.3m. There is also a small area at a high risk of flooding to depths exceeding 0.3m.




Figure 11: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.3m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.22. The climate change scenario RoFSW flood depth map for likelihood of flood depths exceeding 0.6m shows that the site located outside of all modelled flood extents (Figure 12).




Figure 12: RoFSW Likelihood of Depths Exceeding 0.6m. (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). © <https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.23. It is important to note that the surface water risk associated with the site is as a result of topographical low points within the site rather than an overland flow route. Therefore, the risk can be mitigated via a SWDS (See Section 6 of this report).
- 4.24. As such, based on the information provided the site is considered to be at a low risk of flooding from surface water.

Reservoirs

- 4.25. Large waterbodies or reservoirs that have walls built above the surrounding ground level pose a risk of flooding. Walls could fail due to old age, accident, or because excess flood water has been added to the reservoir. Although a breach is unlikely the consequences would be significant, leading to rapid inundation of the downstream floodplain.
- 4.26. According to the EA's Flood Risk from Reservoirs mapping the site is outside flood extents in the event of reservoir flooding (Figure 18).




Figure 13: EA Reservoir Flood Risk Mapping (Base map and data from OpenStreetMap and OpenStreetMap Foundation (CC-BY-SA). ©<https://www.openstreetmap.org> and contributors. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0)

- 4.27. The site has not been flagged as being at risk of flooding following a reservoir failure. Therefore, the risk of flooding is considered to be low.

Groundwater

- 4.28. Groundwater flooding occurs in areas where underlying geology is permeable and water can rise within the strata sufficiently to breach the surface.
- 4.29. The British Geological Survey's (BGS) mapping shows raised beach superficial deposits of sand and gravel underlying the site. The bedrock underlying the site is Newhaven Chalk formation.
- 4.30. The SFRA presents the Groundwater Flooding mapping. The dataset also shows the risk of emergence of groundwater rather than the actual flood risk from groundwater flooding. Analysis of this mapping shows that the site may be located within an area where groundwater levels are between 0.025m and 0.5m below the ground surface (Figure 19).




Figure 14: SFRA Groundwater Mapping (Source: Adur and Worthing SFRA, 2024). Site outlined by red circle

- 4.31. As all new residential units are proposed on upper floors, the risk from groundwater to the development is considered to be low.

Sewers

- 4.32. Foul or surface water sewers can be a cause of flooding if the drainage network becomes overwhelmed, either by blockage or due to local development beyond the designed capabilities of the drainage system.
- 4.33. The Southern Water Sewer Incident Report Form (SIRF) database indicates a total of 309 recorded flood events between 01/2013 and 05/2023 in the Local Plan areas. The most frequently flooded postcode is BN13 2 in Worthing Borough (45 incidents) and BN42 4 in Adur District (45 incidents). The BN15 9 postcode area has 45 incidents recorded.
- 4.34. However, there is no record to indicate that the site has been previously affected by this source. Therefore, is considered to be at low risk of flooding from sewers.

5. Flood Risk Mitigation

All Sources

- 5.1. Flood risk from other sources is deemed to be low. Furthermore, all new residential uses will be on upper floors so specific mitigation measures are not required.

Increase to Flood Risk Elsewhere

- 5.2. The existing site is a full planning application for the proposed upwards extension to provide up to 21no. additional flats (Class C3) plus aesthetic improvements to the existing parade of shops. a planning application for the proposed upwards extension to provide up to 21no. additional flats (Class C3) plus aesthetic improvements to the existing parade of shops.
- 5.3. The site is located wholly within Flood Zone 1 and therefore no additional flood compensatory storage is required.
- 5.4. Furthermore, a SWDS has been provided in order to manage surface water runoff in accordance with local and national policies.

Flood Warnings

- 5.5. The site is not in an area where the EA provide specific flood alerts and warnings. The occupant of the dwelling should monitor Met Office Weather Warnings to be prepared for extreme weather events
- 5.6. Flood warnings/alerts can be enforced at any time of the day or night. Signing up for this service provides site owners some notice before a flood event. The amount of time afforded before a flood occurs depends on the site-specific location (e.g. proximity to the source of flooding, topography of the surrounding area) and the flood mechanism (e.g. bank over topping versus a breach event). Flood alerts and warnings provide site managers/residents with time to take necessary action, e.g. communication of the risk of flooding to occupants/employees etc, evacuation of occupants offsite or to a safe level, removal of valuable items out of reach of flooding and the mounting of site-specific flood defences.

6. Surface Water Drainage Strategy

Nearby Watercourses

- 6.1. There are no mapped nearby watercourses to the sites redline boundary.

Ground Conditions

- 6.2. The British Geological Survey's (BGS) mapping shows raised beach superficial deposits of sand and gravel underlying the site. The bedrock underlying the site is Newhaven Chalk formation.
- 6.3. At the time of writing no site-specific infiltration testing has been undertaken.
- 6.4. The compact layout of the site layout, infiltration has been discounted as a method of managing runoff as it would not be possible to locate a soakaway within the site at least 5m from any building.
- 6.5. Additionally, a Utilities Survey was conducted for the site (included as Appendix B) which shows utilities beneath the carpark area, further limiting space on site for a soakaway.

Existing Drainage Infrastructure

- 6.6. Southern Water asset plans are included in this report as Appendix C and reproduced below (Figure 20). The asset plans show that there is a foul sewer beneath North Road adjacent to the site.
- 6.7. However, the utilities survey confirms that the existing site has surface and foul water drains on site. The drains are assumed to drain to the foul sewer beneath North Road due to a lack of alternatives.
- 6.8. As such, the sewer beneath North Road is believed to be a combined sewer, rather than foul only. This is due to the utilities survey confirming the presence of surface and foul water drains on site. The drains have no alternative discharge location other than the sewer beneath North Road. As such, the sewer beneath North Road is assumed to be combined, taking surface and foul flows from the site.
- 6.9. For the purpose of this report the sewer beneath North Road will be referred to as combined, rather than foul for the reasons discussed above.




Figure 15: Southern Water asset plan

- 6.10. The utilities survey indicates no flow controls in the existing system as such it is understood that the surface water and foul from the site drain unrestricted via gravity into the 300mm combined sewer below North Road.
- 6.11. This drainage strategy proposes to reuse any existing drainage infrastructure on site using flow controls to achieve a betterment compared to the existing scenario.

Greenfield Runoff Rate

- 6.12. The total site area is approximately 0.37ha comprising the existing parade of shops and a carpark. The whole site is currently impermeable surfacing.
- 6.13. The greenfield runoff rate (QBAR rural) was calculated in InfoDrainage (v2025.5) using the ICP SuDS methodology. For sites smaller than 50 ha, the IH124 method is applied to a 50 ha site and the results multiplied by a scale factor equal to the site area (in hectares) divided by 50. QBAR was calculated using the following formula (equation yields m³/s):

$$QBAR_{\text{rural}} = 0.00180 \times \text{AREA}^{0.89} \times \text{SAAR}^{1.17} \times \text{SOIL}^{2.17}$$

- 6.14. The greenfield runoff rate (Qbar) for the site area (0.37ha) was calculated to be 0.2 l/s.
- 6.15. Given the size of the development and the constraints with space on site there is limited scope for significant attenuation to restrict runoff to a greenfield rate of 0.7 l/s. To prevent the increased risk of blockage and sedimentation the proposed discharge rate into the combined sewer beneath North Road is 1.0l/s.
- 6.16. It is important to note that this strategy has been approved by Southern Water on the agreement that post development runoff rates are reduced to 1.0l/s in order to provide significant betterment compared to the existing situation. Please see Appendix G for Southern Water correspondence.

Brownfield Runoff Rate

- 6.17. Calculations have been carried out to estimate the existing unrestricted surface water flows only, from the site, into the combined sewer beneath North Road.
- 6.18. Given the size of the site, the resulting attenuation requirements and the compact layout it will not be feasible to include the whole site area in the calculations. Additionally, due to structural loading concerns a blue roof cannot be used for attenuation. As such, the proposed roof area of the extension (0.116ha) will be included in these calculations and the hardstanding carpark is to drain as per the existing scenario.
- 6.19. Adding to the point above regarding the compact site layout, if this strategy considers the whole site area (i.e. the whole 0.37ha of impermeable surfacing) the required attenuation for the 1 in 100 year (plus 45% climate change) event is between 365m³ and 426m³. This is based on a discharge rate of 1.0l/s, as discussed above. It is considered unfeasible to achieve this volume

of attenuation on site, given the compact nature of the site as discussed above. As such, the impermeable area considered in this strategy is the proposed extension roof area only (0.116ha) discharging at a betterment to the existing scenario (discussed further below).

6.20. Based on the impermeable area of 0.116ha the flows in Table 3 have been calculated.

Table 3 Existing Brownfield (unrestricted) surface water flows

Critical Storm Return Period	Runoff Rate (l/s)
1 in 2 year	21.9
1 in 30 year	49.1
1 in 30 year +CC (35%)	68.7
1 in 100 year	61.9
1 in 100 year +CC (40%)	89.8

6.21. Calculations in Table 4 show the present-day maximum unrestricted outflow rates compared to the proposed 1.0l/s.

Table 4 Existing (unrestricted) and proposed runoff rates.

Catchment	Area	1 in 2 Year	1 in 30 Year	1 in 30 Year +CC	1 in 100 Year	1 in 100 Year + CC
Existing	0.116ha	21.9l/s	49.1l/s	68.7l/s	61.9l/s	89.8l/s
Proposed	0.116ha	0.4l/s	0.6l/s	0.9l/s	0.6l/s	1.0l/s
% Betterment	-	98%	99%	99%	99%	99%

6.22. Therefore, restricting rates to 1.0l/s to the combined sewer beneath North Road, would provide a significant betterment to the existing scenario in terms of surface water runoff. Reducing surface/ foul flows into the combined sewer provides a great benefit in comparison to the existing scenario.

6.23. Brownfield rates are included in this report as Appendix D.

Surface Water Drainage Strategy

6.24. In accordance with the SuDS management train approach, the use of various SuDS measures to reduce and control surface water flows have been considered in detail for the development in Table 5 below.

6.25. The management of surface water has been considered in respect to the SuDS hierarchy below, as detailed in the CIRIA 753 "The SuDS Manual" (section 3.2.3).

Table 5: SuDS Drainage Hierarchy

SuDS Drainage Hierarchy				
			Suitability	Comment
	1.	Infiltration	x	The British Geological Survey's (BGS) mapping shows raised beach superficial deposits of sand and gravel underlying the site. The bedrock underlying the site is Newhaven Chalk formation. The compact layout of the approved site layout, infiltration has been discounted as a method of managing runoff as it would not be possible to locate a soakaway within the site at least 5m from any building. Additionally, a Utilities Survey was conducted for the site (included as Appendix B) which shows utilities beneath the carpark area, further limiting space on site for a soakaway.
	2.	Discharge to surface waters	x	No watercourses within feasible distance of site.
	3.	Discharge to surface water sewer, highway drain or similar	x	No surface water sewers in the vicinity of the site.
	4.	Discharge to combined sewer	✓	This drainage strategy proposes to reuse the existing connection to the sewer beneath North Road. The utilities survey confirmed the presence of surface and foul water drains on site. The drains have no alternative discharge location other than the sewer beneath North Road. As such, the sewer beneath North Road is assumed to be combined, taking surface and foul flows from the site.

6.26. On review of the SuDS drainage hierarchy, and with reference to both national and local policy, it is proposed that the surface water runoff from the proposed extension is attenuated in geocellular tank beneath the carpark area. The tank is to discharge into the existing drains on site in order to reuse the existing connection to the combined sewer beneath North Road.

6.27. The Surface Water Drainage Layout is included in this report as Appendix E.

6.28. It is important to note that this strategy has been approved by Southern Water on the agreement that post development runoff rates are reduced to 1.0l/s in order to provide significant

betterment compared to the existing situation. Please see Appendix G for Southern Water correspondence.

InfoDrainage Modelling

6.29. InfoDrainage Software (v2025.5) was used to model the proposed drainage strategy incorporating a geocellular storage tank with a flow control.

6.30. The model comprises:

- 2 contributing catchment areas to represent the two roof areas of the proposed extension for a total of 0.116ha;
- 1 geocellular tank: plan area 78.75m², depth 1.5m, total volume 112.519m³ at a 95% void ratio;
- Hydrobrake manhole between the geocellular tank and the existing drainage on site (ref: CHE-0041-1000-1500-1000);
- 1 manhole to represent the existing manhole chamber on site.

Climate Change

6.31. The Environment Agency Peak Rainfall Climate Change Allowance guidance was reviewed and subsequently the Defra Peak Rainfall Allowances Map was assessed to determine appropriate climate change allowances to inform the surface water drainage strategy. The upper end allowances for the Adur and Ouse Management Catchment have been used for both the 3.3% and 1% annual exceedance probability events for the 2070s epoch (40% and 45% respectively).

6.32. Table 6 summarises the simulation criteria for the InfoDrainage model.

Table 6: Simulation Criteria

Parameter	Value
Rainfall Data	FEH22
Total Area	Impermeable area - 0.116 ha (no urban creep applied as the sites 100% impermeable).
Return Periods	2, 30, 30+40%CC, 100, 100+45%CC. Summer and Winter
Storm Durations	FEH22 - 15, 30, 60, 120, 240, 360, 480, 960, 1440, minute
Volumetric Runoff Coefficient	1.0 (summer and winter storms)
Percentage Impervious	100%
Time of Concentration	5 minutes

InfoDrainage SuDS Modelling Results

6.33. The full calculation outputs for the proposed drainage strategy can be found in Appendix F of this report although the 1 in 100-year +45% climate change results have been summarised below:

- The surface water discharge rate from the site during the critical storm event 1 in 100-year plus 45% climate change (480 minutes winter), is 1.0 l/s. **This represents a 99% reduction in runoff rates from pre to post-development;**
- The maximum depth within the 1.5m deep geocellular tank for a 1 in 100-year plus 45% climate change critical storm (480 mins winter) is 1.436m;
- No flooding is observed in the critical storm event based on the InfoDrainage model.

6.34. As such, it can be seen that runoff would continue to be discharged to the system on site and the proposed strategy would offer significant reduction in runoff rates (99% for the 1 in 100-year plus 45% climate change event) from the site into the combined sewer, thus providing a significant betterment over the existing situation.

6.35. This strategy has been agreed in principle by Southern Water – see Appendix G.

Surface Water Drainage Arrangement

6.36. The principles of the surface water drainage arrangements are outlined below. The surface water drainage arrangement is included as Appendix E.

- All surface water generated by the proposed development will be captured via rainwater downpipes.
- Surface water from the proposed rooftop extensions will be attenuated in a geocellular storage tank beneath the carpark. The tank is deep (1.5m) to allow to maximum attenuation, however, the system can discharge into the existing drainage system on site.
- A hydrobrake manhole is proposed to be located between the tank and the existing drainage network restricting surface water flow to 1.0l/s.
- It is proposed for the developer to consider systems made of recycled materials. This would reduce the embodied carbon of the proposed drainage system. The feasibility of such a system must be confirmed with a manufacturer whilst considering the relatively confined nature of the site.

- Maintenance of the proposed systems is provided in later sections of this report.
- The existing connection to the public combined water drainage infrastructure is proposed to be reused, which has been confirmed with Southern Water (subject to discharge rates being reduced to 1.0l/s).

Water Quality

6.37. According to the SuDS Manual Table 26.2¹⁰, runoff from the roof of a residential dwelling is generally considered a very low contamination risk and does not usually warrant any significant treatment. Roof runoff will be drained silt traps prior to discharging into the geocellular storage tank as a precautionary measure.

Maintenance

6.38. Table 7 presents details regarding the maintenance requirements for the proposed blue roof included as part of the development, taken from the CIRIA C753 The SuDS manual. Each manufacturer will have bespoke requirements however the below should be used as a guide. All SuDS will be privately maintained.

Table 7: Specific SuDS Maintenance Requirements (Source: CIRIA SuDS Manual)

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Typical Frequency
Geocellular Storage		
Regular Maintenance	Inspect and identify any area that are not operating correctly. If required, take remedial action	Monthly for 3 months, then annually
	Remove debris from the catchment surface (where it may cause risks to performance)	Monthly
	For systems where rainfall infiltrates into the tank from above, check surface of filter for blockage by sediment, algae or other matter; remove and replace surface infiltration medium as necessary.	Annually
	Remove sediment from pre-treatment structures and/or internal forebays	Annually, or as required

¹⁰ https://www.ciria.org/CIRIA/CIRIA/Item_Detail.aspx?iProductCode=C753

Remedial Actions	Repair/ rehabilitate inlets, outlet, overflows and vents	As required
Monitoring	Inspect/ check all inlets, outlets, vents and overflows to ensure that they are in good condition and operating as designed	Annually
	Survey inside of tank for sediment build-up and remove if necessary	Every 5 years or as required

Designing for Exceedance

- 6.39. Exceedance events are those greater than the design rainfall event, i.e. greater than the 100-year rainfall event plus 45% increase for climate change.
- 6.40. Periods of exceedance occur when the rate of surface water runoff exceeds the drainage system capacity. Conveyance beneath ground cannot, generally, be economically or sustainably constructed to the scale required for the most extreme rainfall events. This may result, on occasion, in the surface water runoff exceeding the capacity of the drainage network, with excess water (exceedance flow) being conveyed above ground.
- 6.41. For situations where extreme rainfall intensity exceeds inlet capacities, or for extreme storm events exceeding the design flood event considered for drainage design, the proposed site levels in the carpark are recommended to be sloped away from existing buildings to direct surface water exceedance routes away from external thresholds.

7. Foul Water Drainage Strategy

Design Considerations

- 7.1. The foul water drainage layout is also included in the Surface and Foul Water Drainage Strategy in this report (Appendix E).
- 7.2. The foul water flow rate calculations for the residential development were calculated using 'The Code' (successor to Sewers for Adoption) methodology.
- 7.3. Table 8 below shows the final foul flow rate for a residential development of this size is **0.97l/s**.

Table 8: Commercial Foul Flow Rate Calculations using 'The Code' (successor to Sewers for Adoption) Methodology.

Parameter	Value
Residential	
Number of Properties	21
Peak Flows from Each Property	4000 (litres/property/day)
Combined Foul Flows	
0.97 l/s	

- 7.4. The utilities survey included in this report as Appendix B shows that there is currently a foul water drain within the site draining into the combined sewer beneath North Road. This drain is proposed to be reused. The proposed foul water discharge rate is 0.97l/s based on the calculations above.

8. Conclusions

- 8.1. This report has been undertaken with reference to the requirements of NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance with respect to the development at Queens Parade, North Road, Lancing, BN15 9BA. It has been written to support a planning application and prepared with due consideration to the nature of the proposed development to provide the appropriate level of detail.
- 8.2. An assessment of the risk of flooding from all sources has been undertaken and is summarised in the table below:

Source of Flooding	Flood Risk Summary
Fluvial/ Tidal	The site is located wholly within Flood Zone 1. Areas in Flood Zone 1 are defined as having less than 1in1000 (0.1%) risk of flooding from fluvial or tidal sources annually. Neither the EA Historic Flood Map nor the SFRA provided any records to indicate that the site has been previously flooded from fluvial sources. Given the site is located within Flood Zone 1, the risk of fluvial/tidal flooding is considered to be low.
Pluvial	Examination of the EA's Flood Risk from Surface Water extent mapping shows the majority of the site is at 'very low' risk of flooding. There are small areas within the rear car parking area shown to be at 'low' and 'medium' risk of flooding and along North Road to the south east is at 'high' risk of flooding. Access into the residential units will be via the existing access along Culver Road, shown to be at 'low' risk of flooding. The EA Surface Water Depth map for the modelled 'medium' risk event (equivalent to the 1 in 100 year event) shows most of the site to remain unaffected by flooding. A small area towards the centre of the site is shown to experience flood depths of between 300mm and 600mm. The access onto Culver Road does not experience any flooding during this event. Overall, the risk of flooding from pluvial sources is considered to be low
Reservoirs Groundwater Sewers	The site is considered to be at low risk from other sources.

Canals	
--------	--

- 8.3. The report supports the planning application and demonstrates that there is an acceptable level of flood risk to the site. The development does not increase flood risk off site or to the wider area.
- 8.4. The following conclusions can be drawn from this FRA:
- This FRA has identified no prohibitive constraints in developing the proposed site for the proposed usage.
 - The Site is in Flood Zone 1 and therefore at low risk of flooding from fluvial sources. The post-development use is to be “more vulnerable” which is deemed appropriate for location within this Flood Zone, according to national guidelines.
 - The site is at low risk from all other sources.
- 8.5. The following conclusions can be drawn from this SWDS:
- 8.6. On review of the SuDS drainage hierarchy, and with reference to both national and local policy, it is proposed that the surface water runoff from the proposed extension is attenuated in geocellular tank beneath the carpark area. The tank is to discharge into the existing drains on site in order to reuse the existing connection to the combined sewer beneath North Road.
- 8.7. It can be seen that runoff would continue to be discharged to the system on site and the proposed strategy would offer significant reduction in runoff rates (99% for the 1 in 100-year plus 45% climate change event) from the site into the combined sewer, thus providing a significant betterment over the existing situation.**
- 8.8. The final foul flow rate for a residential development of this size is 0.97l/s. The proposed foul flows are to connect into the existing foul drains on site to discharge into the existing combined sewer beneath North Road.
- 8.9. This Flood Risk Assessment/Surface Water Drainage Strategy/ Foul Water Drainage Strategy should be submitted as part of the planning application to satisfy the requirements under NPPF.

Appendix A - Development Proposals


Do not scale from drawing

To be read in conjunction with all relevant drawing and specifications

All measurements in millimetres unless otherwise stated

Dimensions are taken to either masonry or plasterboard, not plaster.

All dimensions to be confirmed on-site. Any discrepancies to be notified to the designer for clarification



Second Floor Plan - Proposed 1:100@A0

REV G - Local authority request changes	25/08/27
REV F - Local authority request changes	25/07/24
REV E - Lift Added	25/06/02
REV D - Bikes / Bins Added	25/03/20
REV C - Issued for comment	24/09/04
REV B - Pre App Changes	24/07/98
REV A - Client request changes	24/02/23

EDWSArchitects

25 Millers Road Brighton BN1 5NQ M 07979532275
e enw@edwsarchitects.co.uk w www.edwsarchitects.co.uk

J O B Queens Parade North Road Lancing	CHECKED -
	DRAWN ES
CLIENT Greatlen Estates	DATE 31.01.24
	SCALE 1:100 @ A0
DRAWING Proposed Second Floor Plan	REVISION G
	NUMBER 72819K0021


Do not scale from drawing

To be read in conjunction with all relevant drawing and specifications


All measurements in millimetres unless otherwise stated

Dimensions are taken to either masonry or plasterboard, not plaster.

All dimensions to be confirmed on-site. Any discrepancies to be notified to the designer for clarification



Site Plan



Mapping contents © Crown copyright and database rights 2024 Ordnance Survey 100035207

Block Plan



EDWSArchitects

26 Millers Road Brighton BN1 5NQ **M** 07979532275
e evansdoddart@outlook.com w www.edwsarchitects.co.uk

J O B Queens Parade North Road Lancing	CHECKED -
	DRAWN ES
CLIENT Greatlen Estates	DATE 31.01.24
	SCALE 1:500 @ A0
DRAWING Site / Block Plan	REVISION
	NUMBER 7281/SK/001

Appendix B - Utilities Survey

104700N



4650N


UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SERVICES DISCLAIMER

The diagram shows a plan view of the test area. Key features include:

- SO₂**: Located at the top center.
- TARMAC**: A rectangular area below SO₂.
- LP**: Labeled near the bottom left corner.
- BRICK WALL**: Indicated by a horizontal line at the bottom.
- Distances**: Various measurements are provided:
 - Horizontal distances from the left edge: 8.92, 6.99, 7.01, 7.00, 7.05.
 - Horizontal distances between points: 8.89, 6.84, 6.85+.
 - A vertical distance of 6.95 is shown between the TARMAC area and the LP point.

This utility survey has been undertaken using
A: Radiodetection RD4000 + RD4000 TID
B: Mala Geoscience Ground Probing Radar

Power carrying features shown on this drawing are shown as an individual service line. This does not necessarily represent the number of cables actually present and it is possible that there could be multi numbers of cables including HV, LV and communications transmission cables following the same trace line. These could be at different depths, the trace signal from the deeper cables being masked by signal emitted from the shallower service above. Cable runs could also be present running parallel to the single line shown.



Survey Control Markers established for Mapping purposes only and should not be used for Construction without the written approval of Survey Operations Ltd.

Drainage information must be verified
with local authority records before use

SURVEY STATIONS			
Name	Easting	Northing	Height
S01	518235.62	104618.30	6.55
S02	518182.16	104619.67	6.99
S03	518211.18	104627.13	6.71
S04	516229.20	104675.21	6.67
S05	518246.71	104665.92	6.68

Smith Street, Skelmersdale, Lancs. WN8 8LN
Tel: 01695 725662 Fax: 01695 51816
Email: mail@survops.co.uk - www.survops.co.uk

Aegaea

Drawing Title
Utility Survey of Land at:
Queens Parade
Lancing

Scale(s)	1:200	Surveyor	MWk
Date	Nov 24	Drawn	DS
Job Number	24J120	Checked	SO

Sheet Size & Dwg Number & Revision

A1 24J120/001

Appendix C - Southern Water Asset Plan

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
0301	F	5.98	3.91	
0302	F	6.39	4.05	
0501	F	7.80	5.09	
0701	F	8.45	6.81	
0704	F	8.77	0.00	
0801	F	9.18	6.30	
0802	F	0.00	0.00	
0804	F	0.00	0.00	
0805	F	0.00	0.00	
0901	F	8.98	5.63	
0902	F	9.38	5.77	
1301	F	5.81	3.78	
1411	F	0.00	0.00	
1412	F	0.00	0.00	
1501	F	7.50	4.41	
1601	F	6.97	4.04	
1901	F	7.64	0.00	
2312	F	5.59	3.59	
2313	F	5.57	5.57	
2402	F	5.60	3.49	
2404	F	5.63	3.38	
2414	F	0.00	0.00	
2415	F	0.00	0.00	
2416	F	0.00	0.00	
2502	F	6.45	3.25	
2507	F	6.22	0.00	
2508	F	6.12	3.37	
2509	F	0.00	0.00	
2510	F	0.00	0.00	
2511	F	0.00	0.00	
2512	F	0.00	0.00	
2513	F	0.00	0.00	
2601	F	6.28	3.21	
2606	F	0.00	0.00	
2701	F	6.56	0.00	
2901	F	6.21	4.24	
2902	F	6.17	4.14	
2903	F	6.21	4.47	
2904	F	0.00	0.00	
2905	F	0.00	0.00	
2906	F	0.00	0.00	
2907	F	0.00	0.00	
2908	F	0.00	0.00	
3401	F	5.46	3.67	
3402	F	5.44	3.49	
3403	F	5.05	3.34	
3404	F	0.00	0.00	
3405	F	0.00	0.00	
3505	F	0.00	0.00	
3601	F	6.87	0.00	
3606	F	0.00	0.00	
3607	F	0.00	0.00	
3701	F	5.97	0.00	
3704	F	5.20	3.61	
3705	F	5.50	3.44	
3706	F	6.10	0.00	
3707	F	5.35	3.24	
3708	F	5.80	4.30	
3709	F	0.00	0.00	
3710	F	0.00	0.00	
3711	F	0.00	0.00	
3712	F	0.00	0.00	
3713	F	0.00	0.00	
3801	F	4.90	3.43	
3804	F	5.10	3.72	
3901	F	5.30	3.65	
3907	F	3.55	1.92	
3908	F	3.79	2.52	
3909	F	3.73	2.91	
3910	F	3.85	3.16	
3911	F	3.95	3.35	
3912	F	4.07	3.68	
3913	F	0.00	0.00	
3914	F	0.00	0.00	
3917	F	0.00	0.00	
3918	F	0.00	0.00	
3919	F	0.00	0.00	
4401	F	5.21	3.66	
4402	F	4.72	3.17	
4403	F	4.74	3.07	
4405	F	4.76	3.16	
4406	F	0.00	0.00	
4407	F	0.00	0.00	
4409	F	0.00	0.00	
4501	F	4.47	0.00	
4502	F	0.00	0.00	
4504	F	0.00	0.00	
4505	F	0.00	0.00	
4601	F	6.19	4.21	
4605	F	0.00	0.00	
4606	F	0.00	0.00	
4607	F	0.00	0.00	
4608	F	0.00	0.00	
4609	F	0.00	0.00	
4704	F	5.41	0.00	
4705	F	4.89	0.00	
4706	F	4.81	0.00	
4707	F	4.29	3.23	
4708	F	0.00	0.00	
4709	F	5.70	0.00	
4710	F	5.90	3.51	
4712	F	0.00	0.00	
4713	F	0.00	0.00	
4801	F	2.91	1.22	
4804	F	4.95	3.59	

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
4805	F	4.95	3.50	
4901	F	2.81	1.51	
4902	F	3.83	2.81	
4903	F	3.88	3.11	
4904	F	3.98	3.25	
4905	F	4.13	3.40	
4906	F	4.29	3.64	
4907	F	3.03	0.94	
4908	F	0.00	0.00	
5302	F	6.35	5.51	
5303	F	4.53	3.51	
5307	F	4.33	3.29	
5401	F	4.70	3.00	
5402	F	4.56	3.04	
5403	F	4.67	3.97	
5404	F	4.56	3.78	
5405	F	4.46	2.65	
5406	F	4.57	2.63	
5407	F	3.79	2.13	
5408	F	3.90	3.15	
5409	F	4.20	3.43	
5410	F	4.17	3.51	
5411	F	4.28	3.76	
5412	F	4.29	3.78	
5413	F	4.19	0.00	
5414	F	4.29	3.65	
5415	F	4.45	3.67	
5416	F	4.45	3.79	
5417	F	4.52	3.83	
5418	F	4.20	3.52	
5419	F	4.27	3.64	
5420	F	4.52	3.86	
5421	F	4.56	3.90	
5501	F	3.60	2.06	
5502	F	3.29	0.00	
5504	F	0.00	0.00	
5505	F	0.00	0.00	
5508	F	0.00	0.00	
5509	F	0.00	0.00	
5510	F	0.00	0.00	
5513	F	0.00	0.00	
5601	F	5.36	0.00	
5602	F	4.58	2.86	
5605	F	0.00	0.00	
5606	F	0.00	0.00	
5607	F	0.00	0.00	
560C	F	0.00	0.00	
5701	F	2.65	0.92	
5704	F	3.87	2.15	
5713	F	3.90	2.43	
5801	F	3.71	3.39	
5805	F	0.00	0.00	
5903	F	3.16	1.76	
6301	F	5.91	4.21	
6305	F	5.53	0.00	
6402	F	4.73	3.23	
6406	F	3.80	1.94	
6407	F	3.88	1.85	
6408	F	3.53	2.28	
6501	F	3.23	2.39	
6502	F	3.40	1.21	
6601	F	3.79	2.17	
6607	F	0.00	0.00	
6703	F	9.91	7.82	
6704	F	9.86	0.43	
6711	F	9.65	-2.00	
6712	F	10.11	9.54	
6713	F	10.17	9.20	
6714	F	9.96	0.00	
6715	F	9.86	0.00	
6716	F	10.05	9.25	
6717	F	10.08	9.07	
6718	F	10.01	8.81	
6719	F	9.88	9.06	
6720	F	10.01	8.89	
6721	F	10.11	8.29	
6722	F	9.92	9.00	
6723	F	9.95	9.20	
6724	F	9.90	9.30	
6725	F	9.85	9.20	
6726	F	9.79	8.40	
6727	F	9.79	8.95	
6728	F	9.76	9.00	
6729	F	9.76	9.00	
6730	F	0.00	0.00	
6731	F	0.00	0.00	
6901	F	3.60	2.63	
6909	F	3.62	1.96	
6910	F	3.22	2.07	
6912	F	3.18	2.29	
6913	F	3.11	2.25	
6914	F	3.02	2.21	
7301	F	4.62	3.27	
7405	F	4.15	4.15	
7407	F	0.00	0.00	
7408	F	0.00	0.00	
7409	F	0.00	0.00	
7601	F	2.67	0.90	
7603	F	2.26	0.35	
7706	F	0.00	0.00	
7707	F	0.00	0.00	
7714	F	9.65	7.95	
7715	F	9.69	7.98	
7716	F	9.84	8.55	
7717	F	9.65	8.80	

Manhole Reference	Liquid Type	Cover Level	Invert Level	Depth to Invert
7718	F	9.65	8.84	
7719	F	9.65	9.20	
7720	F	9.55	8.75	
7721	F	9.55	8.80	
7722	F	9.55	8.91	
7801	F	10.75	9.39	
7802	F	10.88	9.61	
7803	F	10.79	9.68	
7804	F	0.00	-0.84	
7805	F	10.68	10.00	
7806	F	10.68	10.05	
7807	F	0.00	-0.50	
7808	F	11.25	11.25	
7808	F	0.00	0.00	
7809	F	0.00	-1.25	
7810	F	11.03	10.51	
7811	F	11.06	10.21	
7812	F	11.03	10.59	
7813	F	11.10	11.10	
7814	F	11.08	9.82	
7815	F	11.06	9.74	
7816	F	10.90	9.51	
7901	F	3.50	2.60	
7902	F	3.53	2.64	
7903	F	11.46	9.31	
7905	F	11.02	9.85	
7906	F	0.00	-0.90	
7907	F	11.07	10.30	
7917	F	0.00	0.00	
7920	F	3.31	2.51	
7921	F	3.04	2.37	
8301	F	7.14	0.00	
8401	F	8.02	4.61	
8501	F	9.02	7.83	
8502	F	0.00	0.00	
8602	F	9.82	8.65	
8603	F	0.00	0.00	
8604	F	0.00	0.00	
8605	F	0.00	0.00	
8606	F	0.00	0.00	
8704	F	9.86	8.21	
8706	F	10.00	8.33	
8707	F	10.04	8.37	
8710	F	0.00	0.00	
8801	F	0.00	-0.44	
8802	F	10.56	8.76	
8803	F	10.50	8.85	
8804	F	0.00	-1.30	
8805	F	10.37	9.21	
8806	F	10.31	9.20	
8807	F	0.00	-1.01	
8808	F	10.35	9.38	
8809	F	0.00	-0.72	
8810	F	0.00	-0.59	
8811	F	10.16	-0.51	
8819	F	10.49	10.22	
8820	F	0.00	0.00	
8821	F	10.73	9.69	
8823	F	10.30	0.00	
8824	F	10.30	9.99	
8825	F	0.00	0.00	
8901	F	10.83	7.78	
8902	F	10.88	8.26	
8903	F	11.00	8.68	
8904	F	11.01	8.91	
8905	F	10.64	9.84	
8906	F	0.00	-0.61	
8907	F	0.00	-0.46	
8908	F	10.72	9.46	
8909	F	0.00	0.00	
8910	F	10.70	0.00	
9303	F	6.73	4.23	
9304	F	6.86	4.32	
9401	F	6.97	4.37	
9402	F	7.78	4.43	
9407	F	7.65	4.79	
9509	F	8.14	5.26	
9510	F	7.97	5.10	
9511	F	8.13	4.98	
9701	F	9.47	8.78	
9702	F	9.53	8.71	
9703	F	9.45	8.57	
9704	F	0.00	-0.94	
9705	F	0.00	-0.97	
9706	F	9.63	8.92	
9710	F	8.42	0.00	
9715	F	9.50	9.50	
9809	F	9.48	9.48	
9810	F	0.00	-0.91	
9811	F	10.02	7.65	
9814	F	9.48	8.19	
9815	F	9.49	8.37	
9818	F	0.00	0.00	

Appendix D - Brownfield Calculations

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024			
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:			



Brownfield Area

Type : Catchment Area

Area (ha)	0.116
-----------	-------

Dynamic Sizing

Runoff Method	Time of Concentration
Summer Volumetric Runoff	0.900
Winter Volumetric Runoff	0.900
Time of Concentration (mins)	5
Percentage Impervious (%)	100

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024			
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Report Title: Rainfall Analysis Criteria	Company Address:			

Runoff Type	Dynamic
Output Interval (mins)	5
Time Step	Default
Urban Creep	Apply Global Value
Urban Creep Global Value (%)	0
Junction Flood Risk Margin (mm)	300
Perform No Discharge Analysis	☐

Rainfall		
FEH		Type: FEH
Site Location	GB 518256 104661 TQ 18256 04661	
Rainfall Version	2022	
Summer	☒	
Winter	☒	

Return Period

Return Period (years)	Increase Rainfall (%)
2.0	0.000
30.0	0.000
100.0	0.000
30.0	40.000
100.0	45.000

Storm Durations

Duration (mins)	Run Time (mins)
15	30
30	60
60	120
120	240
240	480
360	720
480	960
960	1920
1440	2880

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024			
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Report Title: UK and Ireland Rural Runoff Calculator	Company Address:			

ICP SUDS / IH 124

Details

Method	ICP SUDS
Area (ha)	0.116
SAAR (mm)	700.0
Soil	0.3
Region	Region 7
Urban	0
Return Period (years)	0

Results

Region	QBAR Rural (L/s)	QBAR Urban (L/s)	Q 1 (years) (L/s)	Q 30 (years) (L/s)	Q 100 (years) (L/s)
Region 7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024			
	Designed by:	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Chris			
	Company Address:			



FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Brownfield Area	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.12	21.9	9.861

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		





FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Brownfield Area	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.12	49.1	22.110

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		





FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Brownfield Area	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.12	61.9	27.897

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		





FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Brownfield Area	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.12	68.7	30.954

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Brownfield Area	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.12	89.8	40.455

Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		







Phase

FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 15 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
TOTAL	21.9	9.861	21.9	9.861

Graphs



Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		







Phase

FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 15 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
TOTAL	49.1	22.110	49.1	22.110

Graphs



Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		







Phase

FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 15 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
TOTAL	61.9	27.897	61.9	27.897

Graphs



Project:	Date: 26/09/2024		
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address:		







Phase

FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: 15 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
TOTAL	68.7	30.954	68.7	30.954

Graphs



Project:	Date: 26/09/2024			
	Designed by: Chris	Checked by:	Approved By:	
Report Details:	Company Address:			
Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase				





Phase

FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: 15 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
TOTAL	89.8	40.455	89.8	40.455

Graphs



Appendix E - Surface Water Drainage Strategy

NOTES

DUE TO THE COMPACT LAYOUT OF THE SITE INFILTRATION HAS BEEN DISCOUNTED AS A MEANS OF DISCHARGING SURFACE WATER AS IT WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE TO LOCATE A SOAKAWAY WITHIN THE SITE AT LEAST 5m FROM ANY BUILDING.

ADDITIONALLY, IT WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE TO PROVIDE ATTENUATION FOR THE WHOLE SITE AREA. AS SUCH, THE PROPOSED EXTENSION ROOF AREAS ARE TO BE ATTENUATED. THE PROPOSED DISCHARGE RATE IS 1.0l/s, REPRESENTING A 99% BETTERMENT DURING THE 1:100(+45%CC) EVENT.

FOUL WATER FROM THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT TO DISCHARGE INTO THE EXISTING FOUL DRAIN ON SITE.

BASED ON 'THE CODE' METHODOLOGY THE RESIDENTIAL FOUL FLOW RATE FROM THE DEVELOPMENT IS 0.97l/s.

THIS STRATEGY HAS BEEN APPROVED IN PRINCIPLE BY SOUTHERN WATER.

GEOCELLULAR STORAGE TANK (PERMAVOID HIGH STRENGTH UNIT)

PLAN AREA 78.75m²
COVER LEVEL: 6.75m AOD
INVERT LEVEL: 4.95m AOD
COVER DEPTH: 0.3m
TANK DEPTH: 1.5m

ATTENUATION PROVIDED AT 95% VOID RATIO: 112.519m³

ATTENUATION PROVIDED FOR THE PROPOSED EXTENSION ROOF AREAS ONLY.

RAINWATER DOWNPIPES SHOWN INDICATIVELY TO CONNECT INTO THE PROPOSED GEOCELLULAR STORAGE TANK.

THE CARPARK AREA IS TO DRAIN AS PER THE EXISTING SCENARIO INTO THE EXISTING DRAINAGE.

EXISTING COMBINED DRAIN TO BE REUSED SUBJECT TO PRIOR AGREEMENT WITH SOUTHERN WATER.

CCTV SURVEY ABBANDONED AT THIS POINT HOWEVER THE DRAIN ON SITE IS ASSUMED TO DISCHARGE TO THE SOUTHERN WATER SEWER BENEATH NORTH ROAD.

PROPOSED HYDROBRAKE MANHOLE
COVER LEVEL: 6.75m AOD
INVERT LEVEL: 4.80m AOD

HYDROBRAKE REFERENCE: CHE-0041-1000-1500-1000

PROPOSED SURFACE WATER TO DISCHARGE TO EXISTING MANHOLE (MH010)
COVER LEVEL: 6.54m AOD
INVERT LEVEL: 4.62m AOD

PROPOSED FOUL WATER TO DISCHARGE TO EXISTING MANHOLE (MH003)
COVER LEVEL: 6.81m AOD
INVERT LEVEL: 6.02m AOD

FOUL WATER FROM THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT TO CONNECT INTO THE EXISTING FOUL DRAIN ON SITE.

BASED ON 'THE CODE' METHODOLOGY THE RESIDENTIAL FOUL FLOW RATE FROM THE DEVELOPMENT IS 0.97l/s.

- NOTES:**
1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT REPORTS, PLANS AND ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS
 2. THIS DRAWING SHOULD NOT BE SCALED. THERE SHOULD BE NO RELIANCE ON THIS DRAWING WITH REGARDS TO DIMENSIONS. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOULD BE CONFIRMED ON SITE.
 3. ANY DISCREPANCY ON THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE REPORTED TO AEGAEA IMMEDIATELY FOR CLARIFICATION.
 4. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL WORKS AND FOR THE STABILITY, INSTALLATION AND HEALTH AND SAFETY OF THE WORKS.
 5. AEGAEA HAVE PRODUCED THIS DRAWING BASED ON THE DRAWINGS AND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF PRODUCTION. WE CANNOT ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR DISCREPANCIES RESULTING FROM NEW PLANS/ INFORMATION BEING ISSUED POST-ISSUE OF THIS DRAWING. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD REVIEW THIS DRAWING IN LIGHT OF WIDER SITE INFORMATION SUCH AS CONTAMINATION, UTILITIES SURVEYS AND SITE INVESTIGATIONS
 6. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PRINCIPLE CONTRACTOR TO MAKE THE DESIGNER AND CLIENT AWARE OF SITE-SPECIFIC RISKS AND HAZARDS THAT MAY AFFECT THE DRAWING AND SPECIFICATION

notes
Do not
To be
spec
All m
Dimen
not p
All di
to be

LEGEND

- PROPOSED SURFACE WATER DRAIN
- SOUTHERN WATER COMBINED SEWER
- EXISTING DRAIN ON SITE
- EXISTING MANHOLE ON SITE
- HYDROBRAKE MANHOLE
- PROPOSED GEOCELLULAR TANK
- INDICATIVE RAINWATER PIPE
- CATCHMENT AREAS

CLIENT: FARCASTLE LIMITED

SITE: QUEENS PARADE, NORTH ROAD, LANCING, BN15 9BA

DRAWING: SURFACE AND FOUL WATER DRAINAGE LAYOUT

DRAWING NUMBER: 5636_DR01

DATE: 06/03/2026

REV: FOURTH ISSUE

DRAWN BY: JA

DRAWING SCALE: 1:250 @ A3

PRELIMINARY DRAWING FOR PLANNING ONLY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Appendix F - Proposed Network Calculations

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



Catchment Area

Type : Catchment Area

Area (ha)	0.03
-----------	------

Dynamic Sizing

Runoff Method	Time of Concentration
Summer Volumetric Runoff	1.000
Winter Volumetric Runoff	1.000
Time of Concentration (mins)	5
Percentage Impervious (%)	100



Catchment Area (1)

Type : Catchment Area

Area (ha)	0.086
-----------	-------

Dynamic Sizing

Runoff Method	Time of Concentration
Summer Volumetric Runoff	1.000
Winter Volumetric Runoff	1.000
Time of Concentration (mins)	5
Percentage Impervious (%)	100

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		





Cellular Storage

Type : Cellular Storage

Dimensions	
Exceedance Level (m)	6.750
Depth (m)	1.500
Base Level (m)	4.950
Number of Crates Long	25
Number of Crates Wide	35
Number of Crates High	5
Porosity (%)	95
Crate Length (m)	0.3
Crate Width (m)	0.3
Crate Height (m)	0.3
Total Volume (m³)	112.519

Inlets	
Inlet	
Inlet Type	Point Inflow
Incoming Item(s)	Catchment Area (1)
Bypass Destination	Catchment Area
Capacity Type	(None)
	No Restriction

Outlets	
Outlet	
Outgoing Connection	Pipe
Outlet Type	Free Discharge

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024			
	Designed by: JA		Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Title: Rainfall Analysis Criteria	Company Address: Aegaea			

Runoff Type	Dynamic
Output Interval (mins)	5
Time Step	Default
Urban Creep	Apply Global Value
Urban Creep Global Value (%)	0
Junction Flood Risk Margin (mm)	300
Perform No Discharge Analysis	☐

Rainfall		
FEH		Type: FEH
Site Location	GB 518256 104661 TQ 18256 04661	
Rainfall Version	2022	
Summer	☒	
Winter	☒	

Return Period

Return Period (years)	Increase Rainfall (%)
2.0	0.000
30.0	0.000
100.0	0.000
30.0	40.000
100.0	45.000

Storm Durations

Duration (mins)	Run Time (mins)
15	30
30	60
60	120
120	240
240	480
360	720
480	960
960	1920
1440	2880

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Title: UK and Ireland Rural Runoff Calculator	Company Address: Aegaea		



ICP SUDS / IH 124

Details

Method	ICP SUDS
Area (ha)	0.37
SAAR (mm)	700.0
Soil	0.3
Region	Region 7
Urban	0
Return Period (years)	0

Results

Region	QBAR Rural (L/s)	QBAR Urban (L/s)	Q 1 (years) (L/s)	Q 30 (years) (L/s)	Q 100 (years) (L/s)
Region 7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.5	2.2

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Catchment Area	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.03	6.3	2.852
Catchment Area (1)	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.09	18.1	8.180

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Catchment Area	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.03	14.1	6.380
Catchment Area (1)	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.09	40.5	18.278

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Catchment Area	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.03	17.9	8.041
Catchment Area (1)	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.09	51.2	23.043

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Catchment Area	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.03	19.8	8.920
Catchment Area (1)	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.09	56.8	25.560

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Inflows Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Inflow

Inflow	Storm Event	Inflow Area (ha)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)
Catchment Area	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.03	25.9	11.641
Catchment Area (1)	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 15 mins: Summer	0.09	74.3	33.359

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
Existing Drainage	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 360 mins: Winter	6.540	4.620	4.640	0.020	0.6	0.000	0.000	0.6	20.680	OK
Manhole	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 360 mins: Winter	6.750	4.800	5.302	0.502	0.6	0.568	0.000	0.6	20.701	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
Existing Drainage	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Summer	6.540	4.620	4.643	0.023	0.8	0.000	0.000	0.8	35.915	OK
Manhole	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.750	4.800	5.683	0.883	0.8	0.998	0.000	0.8	35.833	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
Existing Drainage	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.540	4.620	4.644	0.024	0.8	0.000	0.000	0.8	39.773	OK
Manhole	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.750	4.800	5.896	1.096	0.9	1.239	0.000	0.8	39.800	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
Existing Drainage	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.540	4.620	4.645	0.025	0.9	0.000	0.000	0.9	42.074	OK
Manhole	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.750	4.800	6.026	1.226	0.9	1.387	0.000	0.9	42.102	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Junctions Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Depth

Junction	Storm Event	Cover Level (m)	Invert Level (m)	Max. Level (m)	Max. Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Status
Existing Drainage	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.540	4.620	4.646	0.026	1.0	0.000	0.000	1.0	47.697	OK
Manhole	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.750	4.800	6.384	1.584	1.0	1.791	0.000	1.0	47.727	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Resident Volume

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 360 mins: Winter	5.303	5.303	0.353	0.353	4.2	26.382	0.000	0.6	0.000	21.143	404	76.553

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		



Status

OK

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Resident Volume

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	5.684	5.684	0.734	0.734	6.3	54.920	0.000	0.8	0.000	36.584	647	51.191

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		



Status

OK

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Resident Volume

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	5.897	5.897	0.947	0.947	7.8	70.877	0.000	0.9	0.000	40.761	756	37.008

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
	Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		
Company Address: Aegaea			

Status

OK

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Resident Volume

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.028	6.028	1.078	1.078	8.8	80.657	0.000	0.9	0.000	43.194	807	28.317

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
	Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		
Company Address: Aegaea			

Status
OK

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Resident Volume

Stormwater Control	Storm Event	Max. US Level (m)	Max. DS Level (m)	Max. US Depth (m)	Max. DS Depth (m)	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Max. Resident Volume (m³)	Max. Flooded Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Lost Volume (m³)	Total Discharge Volume (m³)	Half Drain Down Time (mins)	Percentage Available (%)
Cellular Storage	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 480 mins: Winter	6.386	6.386	1.436	1.436	11.3	107.422	0.000	1.0	0.000	49.183	950	4.530

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
	Report Details: Type: Stormwater Controls Summary Storm Phase: Phase		
Company Address: Aegaea			

Status
OK

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	To	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
Pipe	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 60 mins: Summer	Pipe	Cellular Storage	Manhole	6.750	5.167	0.100	3.402	0.3	0.13	1.2	Surcharged
Pipe (1)	FEH: 2 years: +0 %: 360 mins: Winter	Pipe	Manhole	Existing Drainage	6.750	5.302	0.020	20.680	0.5	0.09	0.6	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	To	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
Pipe	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	Cellular Storage	Manhole	6.750	5.267	0.100	1.326	0.5	0.14	1.3	Surcharged
Pipe (1)	FEH: 30 years: +0 %: 360 mins: Summer	Pipe	Manhole	Existing Drainage	6.750	5.677	0.023	27.190	0.6	0.11	0.8	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	To	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
Pipe	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	Cellular Storage	Manhole	6.750	5.351	0.100	1.491	0.5	0.23	2.1	Surcharged
Pipe (1)	FEH: 100 years: +0 %: 480 mins: Winter	Pipe	Manhole	Existing Drainage	6.750	5.896	0.024	39.773	0.6	0.13	0.8	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	To	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
Pipe	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	Cellular Storage	Manhole	6.750	5.395	0.100	1.578	0.5	0.28	2.5	Surcharged
Pipe (1)	FEH: 30 years: +40 %: 480 mins: Winter	Pipe	Manhole	Existing Drainage	6.750	6.026	0.025	42.074	0.6	0.14	0.9	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations		Date: 12/12/2024			
		Designed by: JA	Checked by:		Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Connections Summary Storm Phase: Phase		Company Address: Aegaea			



FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: Critical Storm Per Item: Rank By: Max. Flow

Connection	Storm Event	Connection Type	From	To	Upstream Cover Level (m)	Max. US Water Level (m)	Max. Flow Depth (m)	Discharge Volume (m³)	Max. Velocity (m/s)	Flow / Capacity	Max. Flow (L/s)	Status
Pipe	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 15 mins: Winter	Pipe	Cellular Storage	Manhole	6.750	5.533	0.100	1.830	0.5	0.44	4.0	Surcharged
Pipe (1)	FEH: 100 years: +45 %: 480 mins: Winter	Pipe	Manhole	Existing Drainage	6.750	6.384	0.027	47.697	0.6	0.15	1.0	Surcharged

Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		




Phase
FEH: 2 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 360 mins: Winter

Tables


Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
Existing Drainage			0.6	20.680
TOTAL	4.2	36.161	0.6	20.680

Graphs

Flow Graph



Volume Graph



Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		







Phase

FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 360 mins: Summer

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
Existing Drainage			0.8	27.190
TOTAL	11.9	67.510	0.8	27.190

Graphs



Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		







Phase

FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +0: 480 mins: Winter

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
Existing Drainage			0.8	39.773
TOTAL	7.8	89.118	0.8	39.773

Graphs



Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		





Phase
FEH: 30 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +40: 480 mins: Winter

Tables

Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
Existing Drainage			0.9	42.074
TOTAL	8.8	99.936	0.9	42.074

Graphs



Project: Queens Parade, North Road (BN15 9BA) Surface Water Drainage Calculations	Date: 12/12/2024		
	Designed by: JA	Checked by:	Approved By:
Report Details: Type: Phase Management Storm Phase: Phase	Company Address: Aegaea		




Phase
FEH: 100 years: Increase Rainfall (%): +45: 480 mins: Winter

Tables


Name	Max. Inflow (L/s)	Total Inflow Volume (m³)	Max. Outflow (L/s)	Total Outflow Volume (m³)
Existing Drainage			1.0	47.697
TOTAL	11.3	129.249	1.0	47.697

Graphs

Flow Graph



Volume Graph



Appendix G – Southern Water Correspondence

Jack Allen

From: Southern Water Planning <SouthernWaterPlanning@southernwater.co.uk>
Sent: 09 February 2026 08:51
To: Daniel Cook
Cc: Packham, Neil
Subject: Queens Parade & 4 South Drive

Hi Daniel,

Thank you for your time on Friday.

As discussed, Southern Water is heavily focused on reducing storm overflows. As you know, surface water entering the foul sewer contributes significantly to this, and when an opportunity arises to have it removed entirely, we seek to ensure that this option is explored and exhausted thoroughly.

Neil and I agree that, on this occasion for the sites at Queens Parade and 4 South Drive, you have done so, and we welcome your proposal to significantly reduce the existing flows to the proposed flow rates set out in your drainage strategy. We also ask that the flows are reduced as much as possible, ideally to below 1 l/s, although any level of betterment in this instance is welcomed.

Please attach this email to your S106 application submission and include your reduction plans so they can be reviewed accordingly. Again, thank you for your time, and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Best,
Shae
Future Growth Planner, South East Region

Developer Services

M. 07708 295316

This e-mail is intended solely for the person or organisation to which it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, disclosing or distributing this e-mail or its contents (as it may be unlawful for you to do so) or taking any action in reliance on it.

If you receive this e-mail by mistake, please delete it then advise the sender immediately.

Without prejudice to the above prohibition on unauthorised copying and disclosure of this e-mail or its contents, it is your responsibility to ensure that any onward transmission, opening or use of this message and any attachments will not adversely affect your or the onward recipients' systems or data. Please carry out such virus and other such checks as you consider appropriate.

An e-mail reply to this address may be subject to monitoring for operational reasons or lawful business practices

This e-mail is issued by Southern Water Services Limited, company number 2366670, registered in England and having its registered office at Southern House, Yeoman Road, Worthing, BN13 3NX, England.

In sending this e-mail the sender cannot be deemed to have specified authority and the contents of the e-mail will have no contractual effect unless (in either case) it is otherwise agreed between Southern Water Services Limited and the recipient.