**WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL** **DATE: 16/09/2010**
**INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTATION**

**FROM: Jamie Brown** **TO: Adur District Council District**
**FAO: Keith Morgan**

**SUBJECT: - Change of use to form 1 x 2 bed flat on ground floor and 1 x 3 bed masionette on first and second floors (retrospective).**

**269, Old Shoreham Road, Southwick, West Sussex**

**CONSULTATION DATE: 08/09/2010**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Advice** | X | **Modification** | | **More Information** | |
| **Objection** | | **No Objection** | | **Refusal** | |

**S106 contributions: £N/A**

---

**This application has been dealt with in accordance with the Development Control Scheme protocol for small scale proposals which include up to 5 residential units or extensions to single units accessed from roads that do not form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN). As such the comments provided by Local Development should be considered to be advice only, with respect to this planning application.**

This proposal has been considered by means of a desktop study, using the information and plans submitted with this application, in conjunction with other available WSCC map information. A site visit can be arranged on request.

I refer to your consultation in respect of the above planning application and would provide the following comments.

Upon inspection of my records it would appear that West Sussex County Council was consulted previously on Highway Matters for this location under planning application no. ADC/423/08 to which no objections were raised to a first-floor side extension.

The proposal is as described above, this application is retrospective. 4 existing parking spaces are proposed; although turning within the site will be restricted it would appear that this arrangement has been occurring for sometime. Cycle parking should be included however; this must be secure, covered and be capable of storing at least one cycle per bedroom of the proposed dwelling. The actual details of the cycle parking facilities should be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority (LPA). To accommodate cycle parking it would be recommended that any cycle store should have minimum dimensions of 2m x 1m.

It is unlikely that this proposal would result in any great intensification of use of the existing access; there should not therefore be any impact upon highway safety.

If the LPA are minded to approve this application a condition securing cycle parking should be included.

**Jamie Brown**
**Local Development**