From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 18 January 2024 11:31
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1740/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 18/01/2024 11:30 AM from Mrs Jessica Jones.

Application Summary

Address:	11 Saffron Close Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 6JF
Proposal:	Retrospective planning application for existing Annex into separate domestic dwelling
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Jessica Jones
Address:	10 Saffron Close, Shoreham-by-sea, West Sussex BN43 6JF

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	We are concerned about the area being over developed in the back right hand corner of Saffron Close. In the past 6 months we have had retrospective planning for 10a and now addition of 11a, creating two new residences in the area. The new front door for 11A has been put in which looks directly into our property. The original plans for the annex state the property should be accessed through an internal door through the main porch which we assume had been happening until the new door was erected which were not informed was occurring. The sewer system blocks regularly and new residencies will put pressure on a system which is already facing problems. Future blockages could cause a health and safety problem and financial cost to us which has happened previously.

Saffron close has 6 parking spaces which is increasingly getting tighter, two new residences would put pressure on this as they don't have garages.

We were unaware 11a had the intention of becoming a separate property until we saw the property for sale. Very confused as to how a property can be registered with local authority/postal services when it technically shouldn't be a separate property.

Kind regards