Fwd: Re Planning Application AWDM/1732/21 Sports Hall at Seaside Primary School

1 message

Richard Brooks < > 3 December 2021 at 15:53
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

For the attention of Mr Peter Barnet.
We enclose a copy of the representations we have made to the councillors listed as being members of the planning committee.

Regards
Richard & Jean Brooks (Seaside Avenue residents for 51 and 72 years respectively)

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Richard Brooks < >
Date: 29 November 2021 at 16:33:55 GMT
To: carol.albury@adur.gov.uk, stephen.chipp@adur.gov.uk, dave.collind@adur.gov.uk, tania.edwards@adur.gov.uk, jeremy.gardiner@adur.gov.uk, paul.mansfield@adur.gov.uk, steve.neocleous@adur.gov.uk, carol.o'neal@adur.gov.uk
Cc: Gary Heffernan < >, Bruno Garselis < >, Maz Le Seelleur < >, David Peskett < >, Marion Peskett < , Colin Stapley < >, Elizabeth Anton < >, Andy McGregor <andy.mcgregor@adur.gov.uk>, ann.bridges@westsussex.gov.uk
Subject: Re: Re Planning Application AWDM/1732/21 Sports Hall at Seaside Primary School

Dear Councillors,
Further to our previous email objecting to the above planning application we would like to add the following comments.
The revised application submitted for planning approval has made very small insignificant changes which offer nothing to satisfy our concerns.
We would also like add that the intention to use Seaside Avenue (a cul de sac) as the site entrance is not a suitable access point for heavy construction traffic. When the last school extension took place an entrance was successfully created from Old Salts Farm Road. This route has the advantage of offering direct access to the main A259.
On these grounds we request that you insist, if granting permission for this application, that this access route to the site is used again.
We understand that an alternative simpler roof design has been suggested by Mr Gary Heffernan which makes a significant reduction in the overall building height. We request that you ask the applicant to consider this proposal.

Yours sincerely
Richard & Jean Brooks (30 Seaside Avenue)

Sent from my iPad

On 3 Nov 2021, at 17:29, Richard Brooks < wrote:

Dear Councillors

We are writing to you to request that you reject the above planning application for the following reasons :-

1. The exterior height is excessive considering that the recommended inside height for a sports hall is 4.5m.

2- The design and height of this building is out of keeping with the surrounding buildings including the school itself. Why does it need such a strange shaped roof?

If a simple apex design had been chosen and pitched at a similar angle the height of the roof section could be halved.

3. Selecting the highest possible point which is also closest to the most number of private properties shows little or no consideration for the school's neighbours.

4. Misleading communication with the neighbours by displaying an inaccurate plan of the building when originally notifying us of their proposal. The current area in their plan is much larger.

5. There was a Plan A which would have been sited in a much better place on lower ground and which most of us would have no objection to as we appreciate that the school does need a sports hall but the school opted for this plan B.

6. A professional consultants report published in the DAS document recommended that the heat pump should only be used from 6am to 6pm Monday to Friday.

We think this should be written in as a condition of use if planning permission is granted. Considering several of the nearest surrounding properties are occupied by retired residents we think 6am is too early a start time and the restriction should be 8am to 5pm as this building is only for use during hours.

Yours sincerely

Richard & Jean Brooks (Seaside Avenue residents for more than 50 years)