Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1214/18

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 20 August 2018 at 14:20
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:20 PM on 20 Aug 2018 from Dr Jessica Aidley.

Application Summary

Address:	Foreshore North Of Adur Outdoor Activities Centre And East And West Of River Adur Brighton Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex
Proposal:	Non-material Amendment to approved AWDM/1614/15 to change the proposed handrail in Reaches W4 and W5
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Dr Jessica Aidley
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	Houseboat Myrtle, 2 Riverbank, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5YH

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design
Comments:	First point of concern. I have been looking at the new designs for the handrails on the Northern side of the footpath, and can see that having ten tension wires (as shown in the original approved design) may not be necessary; however I think that only one rail below the handrail is not enough, I think there need to be at least two/three rails to stop toddlers etc slipping underneath? Small toddlers could easily slip under half a metre! We have found that we have had to have two on our jetties to make sure the children do not fall through, one was not enough. Second point of concern. There has been a change in the proposed materials from 316 stainless steel which is made to be rust free and which would last for many years, to powder coated marine grade steel. Since this handrail will be repeatedly exposed to a fine spray of seawater when the wind is in the North, the powder coat would be eroded even faster than it is being on the lamp posts etc in town. Third point of concern. The handrail between plot 2 and plot 3 is now being

omitted? This was in the original plans, and the need for access by the lampost was discussed, but suddenly it is not being installed. The same issues arise on this section as arose on the section between plot 2 and the road where Planning said that fencing had to be reinstated when EA decided to omit it contrary to the submitted plans? There is the same fall, the same concrete under the grass, and the same issues arising during flood surges of not knowing where the edge of the path is. The new plans quite clearly state that that the handrail will be omitted at points of access and jetties, and the original drawings also said they would be omitted where there are fences which I suspect was the intention this time. However, there is no fencing between the gate at number 2 and the fence at number 3. It is a long unfenced section with poor lighting (though at present lit by Mackley's temporary lighting); what is more the Council turns the light off at midnight at weekends to save money, so there would be no handrail or lighting for midnight flood surges (which is when the worst ones would come). I would be grateful if this stretch of fencing could be reinstated as in the original approved plan.

I would be grateful if you could look again at these issues,
Jessica Aidley