Planning Decision Appeal Statement AWDM/0548/24

Address:
45 Loxwood Avenue
Worthing
BN14 7RF

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to appeal the recent planning permission granted as per the reference above. The original plan and design was submitted in April this year, see provided document '45 Loxwood Avenue – DENRA 1993-2(1)'.

This design was rejected as the planner required the original roof pitch on the front aspect of the house to be maintained. A redesign was submitted and accepted, see document '24-Latest plan 05062024'.

I would like to challenge this decision.

'45 Loxwood Avenue – DENRA 1993-2(1)' was designed and provided as an update to, and alteration of, the previously approved plans as per application AWDM/1916/18, see provided document '18-Latest plan-24122018'.

AWDM/1916/18 was approved at the time without challenge by our neighbours and without stipulation of specific demands or requirements from local planning. A similar initial, but informal request to maintain the roof pitch was made at the time, and was subsequently overturned when discussed with our architect and the chief planner.

At we have a number of properties throughout the road that vary significantly, not least we have two completely different property types either side of ours; one a three bed semi-detached house, the other a large chalet bungalow.

The properties throughout Loxwood Avenue have multiple aspects, with abundant different design and styles, with some extended, some not. There have been works on some properties that fundamentally change the original house design. The argument of any design being in keeping of others seems irrelevant given the variety of property types and designs.

Lastly, whilst the local planner requested to visit the property we were only given 24hrs notice of request for access which was not feasible, and alternatives were offered to the planner but not accepted due to time constraints which seemed strange.

I would like the decision reviewed with a view that design '45 Loxwood Avenue – DENRA 1993-2(1)' be reconsidered. I do not believe that this will adversely impact the road, and as no neighbours objected to this in the first instance, I find it hard to understand why, being similar to the plans accepted by planning as per AWDM/1916/18, this rejected.

Yours Faithfully,

Simon and Michelle Convery