Suzanne Eames
15 Buckingham Road
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex BN43 5UA
[redacted]

Adur & Worthing Councils
Development Management
Portland House
44 Richmond Road
Worthing
West Sussex BN11 1HS

ADUR & WORTHING
22 DEC 2016
PLANNING SERVICES

15 December 2016

For the attention of: Matthew Porter, case officer

Dear Sir/Madam

Reference: AWDM/1815/16

Re: Single-storey rear extension re-submission of AWDM/0251/16
17 Buckingham Road, Shoreham-by-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5UA

I write with reference to the above planning application for which I have received notification of revised planning permission and I wish to object strongly to the revised proposals for this build on the following grounds:

- From the initial planning submission, I saught advice from both the planning department and my neighbours as I was concerned about the impact such a large extension would have on my property. I was told categorically that the second part of the length of this extension would be glazed with plastic conservatory frame which would have a sloped forward roof.
- Planning was granted for the conservatory frame and glazed element as it was stated in the approval recommendation document that it would 'lessen the sense of 'enclosure''. This will no longer be the case if this proposed application is granted and will leave my property with the feeling of being in a dark, gloomy tunnel light reaching into my property compromised.
- The revised proposal by way of its size: depth, height and massing would have an unacceptably adverse impact on the amenities of my property and have a visually overbearing impact.
- The mass of this build from the upstairs bedroom window is overpowering.
- The inclusion of a large window directly overlooking my property is very intrusive sitting directly to the boundary wall. I don't believe that such windows are allowable at such close proximity opening over the boundary.
- This development seems to be out-of-scale and character in its appearance compared with existing residential development in the vicinity.

I have attached photographs of the site as reference.

Mr & Mrs Reddi have worked extremely hard renovating this property and I am at a loss to understand why the glazed conservatory element for this extension, for which planning was approved, has been recinded. As I understand from Mr & Mrs Reddi, the original, approved, plan incorporating this glazing exceeded the limit allowed for glazed areas.

I have no objection to the original conservatory element with obscure glass facing which was approved under application AWDM/0251/16. Indeed the conservatory element of this build will completely lessen the impact to my property by allowing light into the recess of, and into my property, thereby minimising any overbearing affect.

I hope that a more condusive scheme can be accomodated that is less incongorous and acceptable to both parties.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

Suzy Eames











