Peter Barnett

GEOFF TURNER [redacted] 16 August 2018 at 14:34
Reply-To: [redacted]
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Cc: [redacted]

Dear Mr Barnett,

Re: Variation of condition 2 of approved AWDM/0301/17

Please see attached my written response (objection) to the above variation application.
I will attempt to load it on to the Adur site. However, as the site is difficult to navigate I send this email stating my views and ask if you can make sure it is registered along with the other objections in the correct place.

Yours sincerely

Mr G H Turner

amended_Objection V3.docx
16K

To: **Peter Barnett**
From: **Mr G H Turner (46 Buckingham Road, Shoreham By Sea)**
Date: **16/08/18**
Your Ref: **AWDM/1083/18**
Re: **Variation of condition 2 of approved AWDM/0301/17**

Commenter Type: **Neighbour**
Stance: **Objection to the Planning Application Condition**
Reason for comment: **Lack of Planning permission, Disturbance, Noise & Loss of privacy**

For the third time, I object to the application of change of use from domestic residence to commercial use of No 48 Buckingham Road.

On this occasion, it's with regards to "a new application, for a variation to the plan" and "retrospective planning permission" – A plan to add a detached garden house (room) into the rear garden.

To date, there has been no notification as to what purpose this building will serve, to the proposed nursey or other business, being run from the property. Either way, the construction currently taking place on the plot, deviates from the approved plans.

Despite the unanimous opinion to refuse the development by Adur's planning officers and council officials alike, the decision to approve change of use from domestic to commercial, a children's nursery, was appealed, and overruled – from Bristol.

Since then (February 2018) the neighbouring residents have had to suffer months of disruption by way of;

- Noise levels
- Dirt and dust
- Building vibrations caused by heavy plant machinery
- Out-of-hours works (Sundays and Bank Holidays)

Plus, watch as contractors cut down established trees and demolish both the front and rear gardens in the process.

The area more resembles a bomb site than a well-run building project.

During this time, *no* attempt has been made by the contractors, or new owners, to give residents any timeframe for the work's completion, or to offer any point of contact for discussions or issues arising during the works.

The work being undertaken outside of recommended hours for a residential area further demonstrates little or no regard for neighbours. The same lack of respect is now being demonstrated by proceeding with 'additions' to the plans, then applying for retrospective permission.

As the owner of the adjacent property I vehemently object to the variation, left off the original plan, on the grounds (**note: planning issues**) that it would inevitably extend the

activities of the nursery into the garden, resulting in more disturbance, loss of privacy and noise.