Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1083/18

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 16 August 2018 at 14:51
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:51 PM on 16 Aug 2018 from Mr Geoffrey Turner.

Application Summary

Address: 48 Buckingham Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5UD

Proposal: Variation of condition 2 (list of approved plans) of approved AWDM/0301/17 (Change of use from single dwelling house to daycare nursery (to accommodate up to 75 children) with single flat and replacement of existing conservatory with single storey extension) in order to accomodate a garden room in the rear garden

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Geoffrey Turner

Email: [redacted]

Address: 46 Buckingham Road, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5UD

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: For the third time, I object to the application of change of use from domestic residence to commercial use of No 48 Buckingham Road.

On this occasion, it's with regards to "a new application, for a variation to the plan" and "retrospective planning permission" - A plan to add a detached garden house (room) into the rear garden.

To date, there has been no notification as to what purpose this building will serve, to the proposed nursey or other business, being run from the property. Either way, the construction currently taking place on the plot, deviates from the approved plans.

Despite the unanimous opinion to refuse the development by Adur's planning officers and council officials alike, the decision to approve change of use from domestic to commercial, a children's nursery, was appealed, and overruled - from Bristol.

Since then (February 2018) the neighbouring residents have had to suffer months of disruption by

way of;
- Noise levels
- Dirt and dust
- Building vibrations caused by heavy plant machinery
- Out-of-hours works (Sundays and Bank Holidays)

Plus, watch as contractors cut down established trees and demolish both the front and rear gardens in the process.

The area more resembles a bomb site than a well-run building project.

During this time, no attempt has been made by the contractors, or new owners, to give residents any timeframe for the work's completion, or to offer any point of contact for discussions or issues arising during the works.

The work being undertaken outside of recommended hours for a residential area further demonstrates little or no regard for neighbours. The same lack of respect is now being demonstrated by proceeding with 'additions' to the plans, then applying for retrospective permission.

As the owner of the adjacent property I vehemently object to the variation, left off the original plan, on the grounds (note: planning issues) that it would inevitably extend the activities of the nursery into the garden, resulting in more disturbance, loss of privacy and noise.