

From: Judy Redd

Date: Mon, Jan 26, 2026 at 7:56 PM

Subject: OBJECTION TO PLANNING APPLICATION: AWDM/1596/25

To:

Cc:

LOCATION: North Of Durrington Community Centre 2 Romany Road Worthing West Sussex

REASON: Material Misrepresentation, Fraudulent Misrepresentation, and Breach of Equality Act 2010

To: The Planning Department, ADUR and WORTHING

Cc: The Monitoring Officer; The Section 151 Officer; All Planning Committee Members

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to lodge a formal objection to the aforementioned application. This objection is based on evidence that the applicant's supporting documentation contains **material misrepresentations**, withholds critical safety data, and ignores statutory obligations under the **Equality Act 2010**.

GROUND'S FOR OBJECTION

1. Breach of the Equality Act 2010 & Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED)

The applicant relies on ICNIRP Guidelines to claim safety. However, ICNIRP explicitly states these guidelines do not account for individual sensitivities or interference with **Active Implantable Medical Devices (AIMDs)**.

- **Discrimination:** The guidelines exclude protected groups: individuals with **pacemakers, insulin pumps, cochlear implants, and metallic clips/stents**.
- **Legal Exposure:** Under Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the Council has a **Public Sector Equality Duty** to protect the disabled, such as myself. Granting permission based on a brochure that ignores these exclusions is a failure of this duty, exposing the Council to expensive discrimination claims for which they may not be indemnified.

2. Mandatory Requirement for a Site-Specific Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Given the proximity of this development to residential dwellings and public spaces, the Council must demonstrate it has fulfilled its PSED.

- **Formal Demand:** I formally demand that the Council produces and publishes a **site-specific Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)** before this application is determined. This assessment must specifically address the impact of non-thermal radiation and electronic interference on residents with the medical devices listed in **Annex A**.
- **Monitoring Officer Oversight:** We call upon the **Monitoring Officer** to ensure this assessment is conducted, as proceeding without one constitutes a **procedural impropriety** and a clear case of maladministration.

3. Fraudulent Misrepresentation of Industry Data (Swisscom Patent)

The Agents supporting statement, titled 5g Masts and Health,

https://docs.adur-worthing.gov.uk/PublicAccess_LIVE/Document/ViewDocument?id=4C9DD4926D4246C19E082040F9CD4CB2

filed 23 December 2025 claims "no dangers to health have been established." This is a demonstrable falsehood. We draw your attention to Swisscom Patent WO 2004/070758 A1 (2003), where the industry admitted:

"It has been possible to demonstrate an influence on the DNA... an increased cancer risk has been demonstrated... these [non-thermal] effects are found in the mobile radio frequencies."

Withholding this industry-admitted risk to secure a planning grant constitutes a breach of the Fraud Act 2006.

4. Omission of WHO Carcinogenic Findings

The brochure fails to disclose that the [WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer \(IARC\)](#) classified radiofrequency fields as **Group 2B "possibly carcinogenic to humans."** Omitting this is a material misstatement of fact.

5. The Monitoring Officer's Duty and Council Indemnity

Under Section 5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, the **Monitoring Officer** must intervene where a decision is likely to result in maladministration.

- **Personal Liability:** Councillors are advised that Professional Indemnity Insurance typically excludes coverage for **"wilful negligence"** where a formal warning of fraud and discrimination risk (PSED breach) has been ignored.

REQUIRED ACTION

I formally request that the Council rejects **this application**, having failed to conduct the required **Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)** and a legal audit of the safety claims, which potentially could open the floodgates to multiple Court cases commenced by individuals excluded under those ICNIRP Guidelines as listed above.

Failure to address these points will be cited in a Local Government Ombudsman complaint and any subsequent **Court proceedings**

Yours faithfully,

Mr T J Redd

of 14 Downscroft, Burgess Hill, Sussex RH15 0UF