WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL **DATE: 05/10/2017**
PLANNING SERVICES CONSULTATION

FROM: Ian Hayward **TO: Adur District Council**
FAO: Peter Barnett

SUBJECT: AWDM/1296/17 - Variation of condition 3 of planning permission reference AWDM/1400/16 to increase hours of use of outbuilding (used for 3D pregnancy scanning) to between 09.00hrs and 20.00hrs Mondays to Saturdays and 10.00hrs to 16.00hrs on Sundays.

117, Grinstead Lane, Lancing, West Sussex

DATE OF SITE VISIT: n/a

RECOMMENDATION:

Advice	✓	**Modification**		**More Information**	
Objection		**No Objection**		**Refusal**	

S106 contribution total: n/\a

This application has been dealt with in accordance with the Development Control Scheme protocol for small scale proposals which include up to 5 residential units or extensions to single units accessed from roads that do not form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN). As such the comments provided by Strategic Planning should be considered to be advice only, with respect to this planning application.

This proposal has been considered by means of a desktop study, using the information and plans submitted with this application, in conjunction with other available WSCC map information. A site visit can be arranged on request.

I refer to your consultation in respect of the above planning application and would provide the following comments.

This application seeks variation of condition 3 of planning permission reference AWDM/1400/16 to increase hours of use of outbuilding (used for 3D pregnancy scanning) to between 09.00hrs and 20.00hrs Mondays to Saturdays and 10.00hrs to 16.00hrs on Sundays.

As approved under AWDM/1400/16 the pregnancy scanning business can operate between the hours of 9.00am - 6.00pm Mondays to Fridays and 9.00am - 1.00pm on Saturdays.

While the proposal represents an increase in operating hours the additional hours proposed are ones considered non-peak for the maintained highway network. The business is small in scale and additional trips generated would not be considered significant in terms of the existing traffic conditions of Grinstead Lane, and the surrounding area.

I would not be minded to view that this proposal would result in a 'severe' impact on the operation of the highway network, therefore is not contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 32), and that there are no transport grounds to resist the proposal.

Ian Hayward
Planning Services