Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/09/2025 11:45 PM from Mrs Margaret BISHOP.

**Application Summary**

| | |
|---|---|
| Address: | 27 Charmandean Road Worthing West Sussex BN14 9LQ |
| Proposal: | Installation of a 1.8-metre high acoustic fence around the perimeter and entrance gate. |
| Case Officer: | Finlay Gardner |

Click for further information

**Customer Details**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Mrs Margaret Bishop |
| Email: | |
| Address: | 53 Forest Road Worthing West Sussex |

**Comments Details**

| | |
|---|---|
| Commenter Type: | Neighbour |
| Stance: | Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application |
| Reasons for comment: | - Highway Access and Parking<br>- Other |
| Comments: | I have lived at 53 Forest Road for more than 52 years. My property lies immediately to the north of the application site.<br>I find it difficult to comment on this application as I am not sure whether the 2 different proposals, ARC/167125/02 and ARC/167125/05 both labelled as 'latest plan' and bearing the same date are alternatives or whether one of them is the operative one.<br>Furthermore, the description of the proposed works is not specific about the materials to be used, only stating they will be 'high quality and child safe' materials. I think the applicant should be more specific about the exact materials.<br>The site has two lengthy boundaries on busy roads, especially at rush hours and Charmandean Road is a bus route. Thus it will be difficult to mitigate traffic noise, even with a fence or indeed to make the site child safe as this junction has been the site of many<br>Road Traffic collisions and near misses. The No 27 boundaries have suffered damage on many occasions over the years, notwithstanding the speed humps and mini-roundabout, the last collision necessitated the rebuilding of the whole of the wall on the Forest Road side. It is important that the vision of drivers is not impaired more than necessary.<br>The Applicant has not discussed the application with me . I fear that if the gates are built as applied for, vehicles driving out or reversing out of my adjoining driveway will put pedestrians, who are many - schoolchildren, dog walkers, people walking to the shops, those pushing wheelchairs and prams etc.- going Northwards on the Forest Road pavement at risk of collision as the driver's sight will be obscured by the 1.8 m. gates. At present, the dividing fence which my late |

husband had erected in 1974, is reduced in height at its Eastern end specifically to enable vehicle drivers to see if it is clear before emerging from my driveway across the pavement to the carriageway. I therefore endorse the suggestion of the Highways Authority, albeit for a different reason, that the proposed gates should be set westwards along the driveway of No 27. (I should add that the present vegetation which partially obscures drivers' vision has only grown up since the previous owners were too unfit to cut it back and then they left the property to go into a nursing home, otherwise I would have asked them to cut it down.)