(no subject)

1 message

Sally Flood [redacted] 14 November 2016 at 14:07
Reply-To: [redacted]
To: "rebecca.tier@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <rebecca.tier@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: "planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Dear Rebecca,

I thought I would just send this again as I did send it last Wednesday and worried that it has not been received by planning. I know we have just spoken and you said you would look out for it but please could you acknowledge that you have it okay as it does say in the letter, objections to be made within 21 days of the letter I received dated the 27th October. Unfortunately I was away until the 5th November otherwise would have done it sooner.

Obviously since sending this we have arranged to meet next Monday 21st at 2.00.

I attach my letter of objection. Also some photos of the proposed garage taken from the supplier - http://www.timber-oak-garages.co.uk/ Mrs Ferguson gave me the website so as I could look at the design of the garage. These are pictures of the two bay garages they supply although the proposed garage would have wooden doors to match the colour of the weatherboarding I believe.

My mobile [redacted] if you wish to get into contact me. I would be happy to show you my garden and to discuss with you.

Could you please let me know that you have the letter and photos. Thank you.

Sally Flood

3 attachments



garden-offices-crawley2.jpg
7K



timber-buildings-crawley2.jpg
6K

Objections to proposal at 7 Edburton Gardens..docx
15K

8, Edburton Gardens,
Shoreham by Sea,
West Sussex,
BN43 5GR.

9th November, 2016

For the attention of Rebecca Tier,
Development Management,
Adur & Worthing Councils,
Portland House
44 Richmond Road,
Worthing,
West Sussex,
BN11 1HS.

Dear Mrs Tier,

Your reference AWDM/1577/16 – Proposed detached 2 bay garage – 7 Edburton Gardens, Shoreham by Sea, BN43 5GR.

We would like to write of our strong objections that we have with regard to the proposed detached 2 bay garage which is planned to run alongside our rear garden.

The proposed double fronted garage would run alongside our boundary to the north side of our back garden. The building, which I believe, will be approximately one metre from our boundary will due to the height, have an overbearing effect on our garden. As it will be the side view that will be showing above our fence, it would be most unattractive and would resemble a vast wooden shed. The roof of the garage at the apex will be 3.95 metres, the majority of which would be visible from our garden. There would be a loss of light to one side of our garden as well as a loss of early morning summer sun. This particular sunny part of our garden is where we have a small chalet and an area where we sit in the summer. Apart from the effect that it will have on my garden it will be seen from the public road, walkway and the rest of the houses on the north side of Edburton Gardens. The plans are for the garage to be sunk into the ground at the back end to a degree of 500mm but level with the ground at the front. The highest part of the roof toward the front is just 3.95 metres and being the middle of the garage will not be sunk in significantly if at all. 2.14 metres of the height of the roof will be visible above our present shrubbery which stands at 1.8 metres approximately.

The proposed garage with weatherboarding walls and a clay roof is not in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and character of the existing dwellings in Edburton Gardens. The garage will over dominate the existing house and the north end of the close. It is my belief that additional buildings should respect the architectural period and style of the estate and external constructions should match in colour and texture of materials of the existing dwellings. This type of building is more suitable for a large country house or farm not a modern housing estate such as the Buckingham Estate. Due to the covenants as set out when the Buckingham Estate was built it was declared an open plan estate. As such fences are not to be erected between the front gardens of the houses, although I admit now hedges and shrubbery divide the front gardens. I therefore find it hard to believe that an extensive construction such as the proposed garage that will take up a large proportion of the front garden would be allowed.

The building would be situated on land forward of a wall forming the principal elevation of the original dwelling house.

This type of building supplied by www.timber-oak-garages.co.uk I believe, does not require building regulations and the structural integrity, fire safety and other key aspects to make sure the building is safe as it is in close proximity of our land, will not be taken into account. We are concerned that during constructing this building it could cause damage to our pond and chalet and

also to the Hawthorn tree which is to the rear of the proposed garage situated at the back of the garden in The Drive. It is also of some concern to us that this building, although at present is planned to be a garage, that it could be used at the sometime in the future as a children`s playroom or other use incorporating noise and disruption for us. I appreciate that this would need further planning to be a change of use but it is not inconceivable.

We have discussed the proposal with Mr and Mrs Ferguson and would prefer to remain on amicable terms, however we have also to protect our own interests. Mr and Mrs Ferguson have informed us that they do not wish to change any of the plans.

Yours faithfully,

Paul Flood & Sally Flood