Adur & Worthing Councils
Developmental Management Section
Town Hall
Chapel Road
Worthing
West Sussex

Mrs Amanda Hosier
70 Ophir Road
Worthing
BN11 2ST
[redacted]

Adur & Worthing councils

22 MAY 2025

Business Support

20th May 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

AWDM/0560/25 Re: 68 Ophir Road

Thank you for your letter of 6th May regarding the above notification. This is the second application for an extension in the last year, previous one AWDM/0973/24 of 30/7/24 which was refused by yourselves. Again as per the last notification it say's on the application form's declaration "as described in the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information". I cannot see any plans, drawings or additional information on the website, which makes it hard for us to comment constructively on the proposal.

The last application AWDM/0973/24 was refused by yourselves as it was contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework, policy DM5 as it would have an impact resulting in an unacceptable loss of outlook and reduction in light to our rear habitable room and garden area. The new proposed extension is only 70cms smaller than the last refused extension. I feel this would make no difference to the unacceptable loss of light or outlook.

The proposal will undoubtedly have a detrimental impact on the value and enjoyment of our property as our rear garden would be effectively overshadowed on two sides, to the North and the South by neighbouring extensions (no. 72 has a 3m brick extension already) which would restrict daylight to the garden and the rear of our property.

The site location/block plan on your website is not accurate, and does not show our alleyway which runs along the Western and Northerly boundaries of the rear garden of no.68 Ophir Road. Please see documentation we provided for the previous application clarifying the land allocation.

Cont/..

We believe that due to the size and scale of the proposed extension and the lack of specific details as to its method of construction, materials etc this particular application would benefit from a site visit by a Planning Officer, and that for the reasons stated above, and as the application is fundamentally the same as the previous application it is our contention that it should be similarly refused on the same grounds. Thank you.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]

Amanda Hosier

[redacted]