6 Burnside Crescent
Sompting
Lancing
West Sussex BN15 9TN

Your Ref : ADWM/1488/12

16th January 2013

Adur and Worthing Planning Department
Development Management Section
Adur Civic Centre
Ham Road
Shoreham By Sea
West Sussex BN43 6PR

WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION
RECEIVED 2 : JAN 2013
TO | REF No.
INSTRUCTION | FWB

For the attention of Marie O'Keefe

Dear Sirs

re : **PLANNING REFERENCE ADWM/1488/12**
8 BURNSIDE CRESCENT, SOMPTING, LANCING BN15 9TN

We have received your letter dated 8th January 2013 in respect of the above property and planning reference number relating to a single storey conservatory extension to rear.

We are the adjoining property owners and occupiers of number 6 Burnside Crescent and having viewed the application at the Council offices and taken the opinion and advice of K S Hurdley FRICS wish to object to the proposal for the following reasons.

All the extensions to our property, number 6, and to the adjoining semi detached bungalow number 8 were completed prior to our purchase.

A major consideration in our purchase was the adverse effect that the single storey extension to number 8 had on the conservatory constructed at the rear of number 6 with the resulting loss of light to conservatory and to the living room, photograph number one refers.

The proposed conservatory extension will significantly reduce further light into our existing accommodation and overlook our rear garden and conservatory giving loss of privacy.

We have shown in photograph number two the potential effect of the conservatory, the crosshatched area being the approximate position as far as we can determine from the plans available.

Continued/.....

Adur and Worthing Planning Department
Development Management Section
Shoreham By Sea

16th January 2013

The dimensions of the lean-to/conservatory as proposed suggest an external building footprint approaching three metres in depth which for the size of garden and having regard to the extension already built in our opinion can reasonably be described as over development.

Our bungalow faces due west at the rear which means that we suffer a loss of light because of the single storey extension completed at number 8, the proposed conservatory extension can only significantly further reduce natural light to our property and garden.

The drawing of the proposed conservatory shows clear fenestration on all sides and to the roof with three number opening fan lights immediately adjacent to our boundary and garden. We consider this to be unacceptable with a high potential for noise, disturbance and indeed smells affecting our privacy and normal day to day use of our garden area and existing conservatory.

The present ornamental bush we doubt would survive in situ which would be a sad loss replaced by what we consider to be a basic conservatory design and material specification with no architectural merit whatsoever.

We therefore object most strongly to the proposal for the reasons stated above.

Yours faithfully

MR P AND MRS J SMITH

[redacted]