From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 February 2025 12:54:20 UTC+00:00
To: "planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0127/25

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 24/02/2025 12:54 PM from Mr Dan McArthur.

Application Summary

Address:	8 King Street Worthing West Sussex BN14 7BW
Proposal:	Single storey rear infill extension
Case Officer:	Finlay Gardner

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Dan McArthur
Address:	10 King Street, Worthing, West Sussex BN14 7BW

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	We object to the proposed extension, as the scale of the proposals are contrary to local planning guidance, and they will negatively impact our residential amenity in the following ways: 1. Based on measurements taken from the drawings, the application design extends across the boundary (the centreline of the original garden wall) by approximately 250mm. We have spoken to No.8 and while we are happy for their extension to replace the original garden wall, we insist the new extension

footprint does not extend beyond the existing footprint of the shared garden wall. We do not consent to the extension extending further onto our property.

2. The parapet height for the proposed extension (as measured from the drawings) is approximately 3200-3250mm above ground level. The excessive height of this wall astride our south boundary, will lead to a significant loss of natural daylight into the habitable dining room within our terraced property, as well as the creation of an overbearing, 'tunnelling effect' between the properties. To reduce this impact, we have spoken with No.8 and asked whether they could have a mono-pitched roof, lowering the wall along the boundary to no higher than 2500mm above natural ground level. This approach is common were terraced outriggers sit in such proximity. Similar properties on King Street, where side extensions have been awarded permission, have mono-pitch roofs falling to eaves levels typically no higher than 2.5m over ground level at the boundary.

Kind regards