Bernd and Lynda Schroter
79 Hammy Way
Shoreham by Sea Bn43 6GH

Date 3rd June 2021

ADUR & WORTHING
14 JUN 2021
PLANNING SERVICES

Planning department Adur and Worthing Council
Re; 81 Hammy Way – Your Ref; AWDM/0892/21
Dear Mary O'Keeffe
Further to my recent telephone conversation with you concerning the proposed alterations and enlargement of the above mentioned property I have considered the plans that I have seen and wish to reply upon the matter of the pending
Planning Application lodged by our neighbours.

I have also discussed this matter at length with my wife Lynda, and we are both of the opinion while it is not always possible to be in a financial position to move from an existing home to a larger property and that an alternative may be an extension/enlargement of the current home, but we feel we must object to the proposed application for the following reasons;.

1. Such alterations would be completely out of character with the other properties in the area and in support I enclose pictures of No.'s 35to 39 and 45 Hammy Way as an illustration.
2. We believe that most of the dwellings were originally designed as Bungalows with possibly two bedrooms only (as depicted in the drawings for No. 81), but any alterations to other properties do not appear to go above the existing roofline as is the case with No,81.
3. The side elevation facing south on the drawing 02.REV. A No 10 shows an increased height in the roofline of No.81 by approximately 7 depth of roof tile and we believe that as such this would substantially block the flow of light (and as a consequence thereby sunshine) to our conservatory which we use both as a dining room and for relaxation purposes.
4. The general view of the proposed changes gives the very substantial impression of a very large and ugly square box type of roof extension, quite literately "dumped" on the existing roof structure of No,81 and may create a very bad impression when approaching the close and spoil the environment
5. Such an alteration may also have a detrimental effect on the sale ability of our property
6. We also wonder whether the existing building structure will be able to carry the increased loading of the proposed alterations without having a detrimental effect on our property
7. . The drawing available does not show whether there will be any additional works for soundproofing or compliance with the current fire regulations
8. . The proposed alterations indicate an increase in the floor areas of No. 81 by about 90 per percent and we feel that this must constitute "overdevelopment" in a very limited size residential area and could set a very dangerous precedent for future planning applications in our close

As I have already mentioned above we must object to the proposed application and urge the Planning Officer responsible and the Planning Committee Members to reject the application. Bernd and Lynda Schrote