From: Boyle, Kevin
Sent: 15 February 2023 14:05
To: VE.RT
Cc: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: FW: Application no AWDM/2128/21 Appeal no APP/Y3805/W/22/3312319

All box has stated in their case notes for their appeal that that this new development will benefit Shoreham by sea by providing a much needed storage facility and employment they don't mention the impact it will have in a quiet residential street. They describe the area by naming Dunham mill and Halford's as an industrial state both of these stores are some distance away across alive railway line . with this proposed development I fail to see what employment a self-contained storage facility is going to bring to the area. Regarding providing a much needed storage facility the new development at Kingston wharf by Easistore will be a far better purposebuilt facilaty and logistically and environmentally in a better location providing state-of-the-art storage facilities . In their own admission in their earlier planning documents they stated that they weren't that busy .

They completely brush aside the environmental impact and their justification for chopping down mature trees is the reliance on trees in neighbouring properties and a token gesture that they they will plant new trees. They brush aside the wildlife by stating there was a couple of bats and a few slow worms. One would question how their environmental survey was conducted Considering this land is it is impossible by human foot I think they their survey is no more than a box ticking exercise.

There current planning agreement states that all external lighting should be switched off within 30 minutes of the end of operating hours and switched on 30 minutes before reopening . Currently their lights are on shining into neighbouring properties all night. And only go off during daylight hours In June 2019 they were givern to permission to build 13 storage units West of the main storage centre these units we're supposed to operate with restricted hours of 7:30 till 8:00 PM Monday to Friday 8 till six Saturday and 10 till four Sundays and bank holidays. This currently is not the case these units are available for the same operating hours as the main storage centre There is no segregation or restricted access to these units at anytime The operating hours for the main storage centre we're extended in April 2020 7 AM to 10:00 PM Monday to Friday Saturday 7 AM to 7 PM and Sunday's and bank holidays 8 AM until 4 pm in their appeal documents they are referring to the fact that they will agree to the restricted operating hours bearing in mind access to these 13 storage units are available all the time how are they going to impose this perhaps this is where the employment comes in they will have a line of security guards to stop people accessing their storage units. They also state in their appeal proposal that these units will not be visible from Gordon Rd correct if you're driving down Gordon Rd you cannot see these units but if you are a resident in Gordon Rd these units will be visible from your homes and your gardens not to mention the floodlights shining into your homes.

They freely quote the NPPF policy which places strong emphasis on building a strong competitive economy considering this development will not bring homes or businesses where people can generate cash or employment I fail to see what this storage facility will bring to the local economy .They also quote they are providing additional employment floor space so are they in that statement admitting that these units can and will be used by traders and builders and for the use of running businesses whether it be car repairs furniture restoration or any other noisy activity rather than just quiet storage.

They brush over the fact of loss of green space by stating it doesn't form part of a formal wildlife or nature conservation area the whole point of wildlife is that it is wild free to roam and live undisturbed by humans they state that the site is unused and overgrown that is exactly why it appeals to the wildlife. They state in their paragraph on impact on neighbouring properties with regard to the visual impact any views from Gordon Rd properties to the north will be restricted correct because the properties to the north of Gordon Rd it it's the properties on the South of Gordon Rd which will be impacted because they will have these storage facilities at the foot of their gardens . With bright halogen lights shining in are windows all hours an ugly acoustic fence if they can

be bothered to erect it loss of privacy /noise as well as the removal of the natural screen which hides the railway line so anyone passing on a train can look straight into our gardens .

Regarding the risk of flooding they are missing the point totally the concern is that once this area of ground is concreted over .The rain has nowhere to go except in to are property's . They go on to mention about transportation and they state that there will be a small increase in vehicle movements So the development of 53 additional storage units where they are encouraging people to run businesses from is only going to have a small increase in vehicle movements. That could be potentially another 200 vehicle movements a day of trips backwards and forwards. There is no mention in their appeal documents or relocation of the fire hydrant for fire fighting purposes this was brought up as an issue and a concern in their original planning application. They have failed to mention how they're going to offset the carbon generated by the construction and running of this development when they are removing the very thing that absorbs carbon they need a thorough carbon assessment. I will urge all members of this committee here today to reject this plan and quash this idea once and for all we owe it to future generations to keep these little gems of green space so we can live hand in hand with the wildlife once this is gone it is gone

Please note that I wish to speak at this hearing on 22 MARCH AT 10 AM

Regards Kevin Boyle
124 Gordon road
Shoreham by sea
West Sussex
BN43 6WG

07823 362453

This message (including attachments) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and contains information that is confidential, legally privileged and/or proprietary to Laing O'Rourke. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the message to indicate the error and delete the message (and destroy any copies) without further disclosure or use. Messages sent to/from Laing O'Rourke may be monitored and Laing O'Rourke accepts no liability for personal content of the sender which is unrelated to Laing O'Rourke's business. References to "Laing O'Rourke" include Laing O'Rourke Corporation Ltd and its affiliates - visit www.laingorourke.com for more information.