Debbie Johnson

From: Planning
Sent: 06 October 2014 21:59
To: Planning
Subject: Application Comments for AWDM/1197/14

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:59 PM on 06 Oct 2014 from Mrs Julie Newman.

Application Summary

Address: 24 Riverside Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5RU

Proposal: Two-storey extension to south elevation and addition of first-floor with dormers to existing bungalow. Replacement porch with balcony over.

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Julie Newman

Email: [redacted]

Address: 6 Weald Dyke Shoreham By Sea

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Other
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: I wish to object to the plans for 24 Riverside on the grounds that the 1st floor window will enable a view into our master bedroom, bedroom 4 and our living space down stairs. Currently our garden is not overlooked and the proposal of a first floor will ensure the loss of privacy and overall have a detrimental impact on our living conditions. With reference to Development control standard 2 there should be a minimum of 22 meters between facing windows. There is far less than a 45 degree angle between our windows and without any measurements on the plans it is difficult to establish the accuracy of the distance between the buildings, but I estimate a distance of 19 meters between our windows this estimate falls short of the minimum requirement in the planning policy. As a previous decision by the Government Planning Inspectorate dated 17/12/2013 stated, regarding 25 Riverside, "The scheme would be un-neighbourly and would seriously harm the living conditions of the occupants of 5 & 6 Weald Dyke, due to its visual impact and loss of privacy. 24 Riverside stands

approximately 1.5 meters next to the neighbouring 25 Riverside, therefore very little has changed for us in the 8 years of objecting to the over development of the site. If the committee votes in favour of this property, then a precedent will be set for the other properties to become very small houses resulting in an overdeveloped plot of land. I respectfully wish to request a site visit from the Planning Committee to view the site from our perspective.