

Margaret Shaw
10 St Johns Avenue
Worthing
West Sussex
BN12 4HU

By Post to:
Planning Inspectorate
Registry/Scanning,
Room 3/05 Kite Wing,
Temple Quay House,
2 The Square,
Temple Quay,
Bristol,
BS1 6PN
By email to enquiries@pins.gsi.gov.uk

31 May 2026

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: Formal Objection to Planning Appeal (Ref: 6009240)

I write to object to the planning appeal relating to the proposed subdivision of the garden at 94 Marine Crescent to construct a two-bedroom house with access from St John's Avenue.

I live at 10 St John's Avenue, next door but one to the proposed development, and would be directly affected. I fully support Worthing Borough Council's decision to refuse this application.

Character and Design

The proposal represents an overdevelopment of a constrained garden plot and is not in keeping with the established character of St John's Avenue.

St. Johns Avenue is characterised by single-storey bungalows of a consistent and modest design, with a limited number of similar layouts repeated along the road. These properties were originally built to provide ground floor accommodation, creating a strong and coherent streetscape.

The proposed development introduces a two-storey house, which is materially different in scale, form and design. This would appear visually intrusive and out of keeping with the established pattern of development.

This is clearly illustrated in the accompanying annotated plan, which shows that in order to accommodate the proposed building, it must be positioned out of alignment with the established building line, in contrast to the consistent alignment of existing properties. This reinforces the impression of a cramped and incongruous form of development. As shown in the annotated block plan, attached at the end of this letter.

The Council also concluded that the proposal would be an overly cramped and unsympathetic form of infill development, harmful to local character and distinctiveness.

Impact on Residential Amenity

The proposal would have a harmful impact on neighbouring properties.

Due to its proximity, scale and layout, it would result in:

- Increased sense of enclosure
- Loss of outlook
- Potential overlooking from upper-level elements
- Noise and disturbance associated with intensified use

The impact is significant to the adjacent property 8 St Johns Avenue, with a significant loss of light on the south side of this residence. The property currently has the full benefit of unhindered light to this aspect.

The erection of the building as proposed would mean that the back garden and swimming pool of 92 Marine Gardens would be overlooked with a loss of privacy for the occupants

The Council has identified that the proposal would have an unacceptably harmful impact on the residential amenities of neighbouring dwellings. No amendments to the scheme have been submitted to address the concerns of the council or the local residents in this appeal.

Traffic and Parking

The proposal introduces a new vehicular access and additional parking onto St John's Avenue.

While modest in scale, it would lead to additional vehicle movements associated with a separate dwelling. On a residential road, even limited increases in traffic and parking demand can affect the character and convenience of the street.

Flood Risk

The site lies within Flood Zone 3, an area of high flood risk.

The Environment Agency previously objected to the application due to the absence of a Flood Risk Assessment, stating that this alone was sufficient reason to refuse planning permission.

Although further information has been submitted, the Council's concerns regarding the appropriateness of new residential development in this location remain valid.

Relevance of Planning Precedent

The Appellant refers to an appeal decision in Saltdean, Brighton in support of this proposal. However, this comparison is not directly relevant.

Planning decisions are site-specific and must be determined on the particular characteristics of the site and its surroundings. The circumstances in Saltdean differ materially from those at St John's Avenue in terms of layout, established building pattern and character.

Reliance on a single example from a different location does not outweigh the identified harms in this case, and the proposal should be assessed on its own merits.


Conclusion

The proposal:

- Is out of keeping with the established bungalow character of the street
- Represents overdevelopment of a constrained garden plot
- Would harm residential amenity
- Would add to traffic and parking pressures
- Raises significant flood risk concerns

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Planning Inspector dismiss the appeal and uphold the Council's refusal of planning permission.

Yours faithfully

A large black rectangular box redacting the signature of Margaret Shaw.

Margaret Shaw

Supporting Documents / References

1. Annotated Block Plan (Neighbour Representation – showing misalignment and overdevelopment)



Photographs showing the similarity and deliberate design of the bungalows in St. Johns Avenue



Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWDM/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: R. Smith
Address: 5 ST JOHN'S AVENUE, GORING-BY-SEA
Signature: R. Smith
Date: 29/5/26

Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWD/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: SHEILA BREWER

Address: 3, ST JOHN'S AVE

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 29-5-24

Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWD/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: PA FULCHER
Address: 17 ST JOHN'S AVE BN12 4HU
Signature: PA Fulcher
Date: 29/5/26

Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWD/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: JUDITH MACKISON
Address: 7 St John's Avenue
Signature: J. Mackison
Date: 31/5/26

Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWD/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: Eric & Anne Mills P.S. I would also bring to your
Address: 9 ST JOHNS AVE. notice with probable high sided
Signature: E. Mills & A. Mills vans parked on the new parking
Date: 28th MAY 2026 area in front of the proposed building
would severely block their line of sight of nos 8 & 10 St John's
This could result in a serious accident.
Also having extra vehicles parked in the road adjacent to
the proposed building in the already narrow road will force
heavy delivery lorries on to pavement and cause damage to the slab
and likely accident to a pedestrian
Yours faithfully E Mills & A Mills

Appeal Representation / Objection Letter

LAND AT: 94 Marine Crescent, Worthing, West Sussex, BN12 4JH

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Subdivision of existing dwelling plot to provide 2 bedroom chalet bungalow style detached house in rear garden with parking accessed off St John's Avenue

APPLICATION REFERENCE: AWDM/1520/25

PLANNING INSPECTORATE NUMBER: 6009240

Dear Sir / Madam,

I wish to **object** to the above planning appeal and support the Council's original decision to refuse planning permission.

The proposed development would remain an overly cramped, intrusive and visually discordant form of infill development that is out of keeping with the established bungalow character, openness and spatial pattern of St John's Avenue.

Historically, this part of the avenue has been characterised by modest bungalows with relatively consistent spacing, landscaped verges, low boundary walls and open frontages contributing to a spacious and coherent streetscene. Whilst some neighbouring properties include steeply pitched roofs, the prevailing character remains one of bungalow-scale development with accommodation principally accommodated at ground-floor level.

The proposed chalet dwelling would project materially beyond the established building line and introduce a visually dominant built form into a predominantly low-rise bungalow setting, interrupting the openness and rhythm presently characteristic of the avenue.

Particular concern is also raised regarding the cumulative impact of the proposal together with the recent introduction of a substantially taller timber boundary fence and concrete posts along the site boundary, which has already increased enclosure and altered the open character of this part of St John's Avenue. The proposed dwelling would further intensify this enclosed and visually intrusive appearance.

The proposal would also result in harmful impacts on neighbouring residential amenity through its height, roof mass, scale, orientation and visual prominence, particularly for neighbouring bungalows along St John's Avenue, including Nos. 8, 10, 12 and 14.

Whilst the appellant refers to other developments and appeal decisions elsewhere, these are site-specific matters and do not outweigh the Council's findings that this proposal would be cramped, unsympathetic and harmful to local character and amenity under Policy DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan, the Residential Development SPD and the NPPF.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the appeal be **dismissed**.

Yours faithfully,

Name: AR + CA Dalmon
Address: 16 ST JOHNS AVE
Signature: AR Dalmon
Date: 30/5/26.