Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0028/18

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 3 February 2018 at 21:01
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:01 PM on 03 Feb 2018 from Miss Michelle Robertson.

Application Summary

Address: 80 Mansell Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 6GP

Proposal: Householder application for permitted development for prior approval for single storey extension to rear of property measuring 3.59m from rear wall of the original house, maximum height 3m and height of eaves of the extension 2.87m.

Case Officer: Dawn Ayres

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Miss Michelle Robertson

Email: [redacted]

Address: ~~80~~ 78 Mansell Road, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 6GP

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment: - Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: As the owner-occupiers of 78 Mansell Road, Shoreham-by-Sea we (Michelle Robertson and Sarah Silve) are concerned as to the proposal for the extension at 80 Mansell Road.
The text of the planning application does not state the width of the extension however; the plans show it as being the width of the house. We object on the following grounds:
- The extension going the whole width of the house will lead to a loss of light.
- We have not been informed of any gap between the extension and our fences.
o Michelle Robertson is a professional dog walker/boarder and a safe and secure garden for her clients' dogs and our own is fundamental.
o With reference to the above comment, our house is the closest to 80 Mansell road with side access and we will not be granting permission to use side access to facilitate building works.
- Noise, disturbance and smells.
o Our own dogs and those in Michelle's care have felt rather stressed by noise of the works already being regularly carried out.
o The owners/contractors are not politely informing us of when work is being carried out, or considering any Health and Safety concerns we may have.
o As examples of unreasonable behavior:
- Between Christmas and New Year, they set fire to the garden in order to clear it.
- They made lots of noise seemingly 'chasing in electrics at 10am' on New Years' Day.
- When working early in the morning, the contactors seem to carry out the noisiest thing they can do, like

knocking out the chimney breast over 3 floors (including the loft space). We suspect this is the case as they have left a gapping hole in the roof to create a sky light.

To conclude, we feel very ill-informed on the proposal. The new owners of No.80 haven't introduced themselves to us or let us know anything; likewise we believe the owners of No. 82 haven't had any contact with. It isn't the way to go about being good neighbours.